

**Time:** 9.00am  
**Date:** Tuesday 27 September 2011  
**Venue:** Council Chambers, Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street,  
Te Awamutu

## 1 PRESENT

---

### Chairperson

His Worship the Mayor AD Livingston

### Members

EH Barnes, DG Finn, ML Gower, SDC Milner, GG Scaramuzza, DL Sharpe, GW Simmons, BJ Taranaki, BS Thomas, GRP Webber, VT Wilson

### Others

Acting Chief Executive R McNeil; Group Manager Business Support – K Morris; Group Manager Organisational Development – T Hancock; Manager Legal & Corporate Services – J McFarlane; Environmental Services Team Leader – K Tutty; Governance Support Senior – C Shaw

Public & Press – 8

## 2 APOLOGIES

---

### RESOLVED

1/11/67

*That the apology from Cr Hoverd for non attendance be received.*

Cr Barnes/Cr Gower

## 3 DISCLOSURE OF MEMBERS' INTERESTS

---

Cr Milner said she would declare a conflict of interest for item 15.

## 4 VISITORS

---

There were no visitors.

## 5 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

---

**RESOLVED**

1/11/68

*That the minutes of the meetings of the Waipa District Council held on Tuesday 30 August 2011, having been circulated, be taken as read and confirmed as a correct record.*

Cr Thomas/Cr Finn

## 6 LATE ITEMS

---

There were no late items.

## 7 CONFIRMATION OF ORDER OF MEETING

---

**RESOLVED**

1/11/69

*That the order of the meeting be confirmed.*

Cr Taranaki/Cr Scaramuzza

## 8 COUNCILLORS' REPORTS FROM CONFERENCES, SEMINARS AND MEETINGS ATTENDED ON BEHALF OF COUNCIL

---

File: 75-09-06

Councillors who have attended a Conference, Seminar or Meeting on behalf of Council may present a report to Council.

Cr Webber reported that he had met with a delegation of Chilean Government Ministers and Mighty River Power representatives who were interested in how Waipa District Council governed the Karapiro Lake with the zones and surrounding reserves. He reported that Ngati Koroko Kahukura provided a hangi and that those present left with a good impression.

Cr Barnes attended the Te Awamutu Museum Trust Board meeting and reported that the Te Awamutu Playground Fundraising Committee would be holding an official opening on 26 November 2011.

Cr Sharpe attended the Waikato Airport Liaison Committee and said there were few incidents recorded and that the Liaison Committee was working well.

## 9 USE OF COUNCIL SEAL AND DELEGATED AUTHORITY

---

File: 01-22-30

Council's Delegations Register provides for delegated authority to be exercised on behalf of Council in relation to certain statutory and discretionary functions. Where required, a report is made to Council after the exercise of such authority:

### **Report on defence of High Court Proceedings**

On 24th August, a Statement of Claim was filed in the High Court in Hamilton by Graeme Ronald Mathews, Rosalind Philippa Mathews and Maxwell Lloyd Divers, as trustees of the Mathews Family Trust (first plaintiffs) and MDV Limited (second plaintiff) against Council. The Statement of Claim relates to flooding and damage to a property at 93 Redoubt Road, Cambridge owned by the Mathews Family Trust and from which, MDV Limited operate a business. There are six causes of action in relation to alleged claims of negligence, breach of the Building Act 2004 and nuisance. The reparation sought in relation to special damages, protective works, associated losses, general damages, interest and costs is in excess of \$1,231,146.

The Chief Executive has delegated authority to authorise the taking or defending of proceedings in Council's name in the High Court, subject to a report of such proceedings being delivered to Council at the next available meeting. The Chief Executive has referred the Statement of Claim to Council's insurers, Riskpool who have appointed their own solicitors to defend the action on behalf of Council. A Statement of Defence will be filed in the High Court in relation to the action. Council staff are assisting the solicitors, Heaney and Company with their requirements in relation to the defence.

Council policy provides for use of the Council seal prior to notification to Council on specified cases.

Documents to which the Council seal has been applied under authority delegated to the Chief Executive, Group Manager Planning & Community Relations, Manager Planning & Regulatory, Manager Legal & Corporate Support, Environmental Health Services Team Leader, Senior Analyst Planning, Consents Team Leader, Project Planner or Manager Property Services in accordance with Council Policy during August 2011.

### **RESOLVED**

1/11/70

*That the following schedule of documents to which the Common Seal of the Waipa District Council has been applied under delegated authority be received.*

Cr Finn/Cr Milner

## ***Documents Sealed by Chief Executive***

### ***Sale and Purchase Agreements***

*There were no Sale and Purchase agreements.*

### ***Other Documents***

*There were no other Documents.*

### ***Liquor Licences***

- a) *General Manager Certificate – A I Macek*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*
  
- b) *General Manager Certificate – K A Clement*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*
  
- c) *General Manager Certificate – K Jeffries*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*
  
- d) *General Manager Certificate – S K Taulanga*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*
  
- e) *General Manager Certificate – K J Weal*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*
  
- f) *General Manager Certificate – R D Morel*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*
  
- g) *General Manager Certificate – S Kruger*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*
  
- h) *General Manager Certificate – L K Robson*  
*File: 33-26-02*  
*Pol Ref: 1.7.19*

- i) *On Licence – MJ & FN Henderson – trading as “The Redoubt Bar & Eatery”  
016/ON/0012/11  
File: 33-26-02  
Pol Ref: 1.7.19*

***Planning Documents sealed by Manager Planning & Regulatory, Senior Analyst  
Planning, Team Leader Consents or Project Planner***

- j) *Withdrawal of Caveat: Rochdale Holdings Ltd  
File SP/0042/11  
(no longer required as result of a subdivision)*

## **10 REPORT ON WAIPA DISTRICT COUNCIL DOG CONTROL POLICY AND PRACTICES 2010/11**

---

File: 01-9-42/19

Acting Chief Executive R McNeil introduced the annual report on the Waipa District Council Dog Control Policy and Practices. Mr McNeil confirmed that the report is a statutory requirement that is prepared and presented to the Secretary for Local Government. He said Environmental Services Team Leader K Tutty had prepared the report which was comprehensive. Mr Tutty was available to answer questions from the Council.

In response to a question, Mr Tutty said that the government levy for the national database was paid out of the fees and charges collected by the Animal Control Team as part of the dog registration fee. He confirmed that all information gathered on a dog was entered into the national database and that it is compulsory for first time registered dogs to comply with all aspects of Council’s dog control policies and bylaws.

Councillors congratulated Mr Tutty and his team for the service they provide to the Public.

### **RESOLVED**

1/11/71

*That*

- a) *the Report on “Waipa District Council Dog Control Policy and Practices 2009/10” of the Environmental Services Team Leader, Karl Tutty be received; and*
- b) *pursuant to section 10A of the Dog Control Act 1996, the Waipa District Council Report on Dog Control Policy and Practices for 2010/11, attached as*

*Appendix 1 of the staff report (document number 11105085), be adopted and a copy forwarded to the Secretary for Local Government.*

Cr Taranaki/Cr Wilson

## **11 WAIPA RURAL FIRE AUTHORITY – RURAL FIRE PLAN**

File: 01-84-16

Acting Chief Executive R McNeil outlined the report and said that it was a requirement under the Forest and Rural Fire Regulations 2005 that the Rural Fire Plan sections were reviewed. He said staff had reviewed the Rural Fire Plan as required and determined that there was no requirement to amend the plan at this time.

Mayor Livingston said that at the recent Local Government New Zealand Zone 2 meeting, issues around fighting of rural fires and the associated costs were raised. He said the Fire Service indicated that a complete review of all fire services, including rural fire and the role that Council's have in them, is being held.

Cr Sharpe said there was no mention of the Airport Fire Authority in the Plan and Council does work in with them and reference should be made to the liaison that exists. In response, Acting Chief Executive R McNeil said the Rural Fire Officer was currently on leave but he anticipated that contact with the Airport Fire Operation would be held and that there would be some form of agreement in place.

### **RESOLVED**

1/11/72

*That*

- a) The report of Chuck Davis, Principal Rural Fire Officer, be received; and*
- b) Pursuant to section 40 of the Forest and Rural Fire Regulations 2005, Council review Part 2 Readiness and Part 3 Response of the Waipa Rural Fire Authority Rural Fire Plan (document number 09046444) and either confirm that no amendment is required to either Part, or make such amendments as Council deems necessary.*
- c) An advisory note regarding the existence of the operation of the airport fire authority and any arrangements that are in place for assistance outside the airport area, be included in the Plan.*
- d) Areas beyond those identified beyond 2.8 be included in any subsequent reviews.*

Mayor Livingston/Cr Sharpe

## 12 KARAPIRO DOMAIN RESERVE MANAGEMENT PLAN 2011

---

File: 60-06-18/1

Acting Chief Executive, Mr McNeil outlined the process that had been followed in the development of the Karapiro Domain Reserve Management Plan and the changes that were requested through submissions. He reported that there is an opportunity for the development of a Management Policy covering how the Domain and the Don Rowlands Centre is operated. He said development of any such Management Policy would need to be inclusive and involve consultation with all relevant stakeholders. He said this would not form part of the Management Plan but would be a guiding Policy for how the Domain and its key facilities would be operated.

Cr Barnes questioned how the development work would fit in with the Council's Long Term Plan. In response, Acting Chief Executive R McNeil advised that the list of projects in the Reserve Management Plan was indicative and the timing of each would need to be weighed up against other projects for consideration when the Long Term Plan is being developed and confirmed.

Cr Finn advised that the Purpose for the Mighty River Domain & Karapiro Reserves Committee needed to be updated. In response, Mr McNeil advised that the updated purpose of the Committee, as approved by Council at its February 2011 meeting, will be included in the Management Plan.

### **RESOLVED**

1/11/73

*That:*

- a) *The report of Tony Roxburgh, Manager, Community Facilities, be received.*
- b) *Subject to any amendments made by Council and pursuant to sections 41(6)(d & e) of the Reserves Act 1977, Council adopt the Karāpiro Domain Reserve Management Plan 2011 (document TRIM 11105602).*
- c) *Subject to b) council endorses the development of a management policy, in consultation with relevant stakeholders, to guide the operation of the Mighty River Domain, and in particular the hireage and management of the Don Rowland's Centre.*

Cr Finn/Cr Webber

## **13 REVIEW OF WAIPA DISTRICT COUNCIL CODE OF CONDUCT FOR ELECTED MEMBERS**

---

File: 01-22-05

Manager Legal & Corporate Services Mrs McFarlane presented the updated Code of Conduct for Waipa District Council Elected Members and advised there was no legal requirement for the Code to be updated and adopted but the update represented best practice. Mrs McFarlane said most of the existing code has remained and the alterations are mainly around the Changes to the Conflicts of Interest, including bias.

Cr Wilson asked why Council used Workshops instead of including the matters as part of open meetings. In response, Acting Chief Executive R McNeil said the practice of holding workshops is seen by the Local Government sector as best practice. He said it was a way that Councillors can consider matters in a way that allows for free and open consideration. However, no decisions can be taken in those workshops and all matters discussed need to go to a Committee meeting or to Council. He said that meetings are generally required to be conducted in open session. He said that Council, or committees of the Council, need to make a conscious decision if the public are to be excluded, and that such decisions are based upon the individual circumstances, and must meet the statutory public exclusion tests set out in the legislation.

Several Councillors agreed that there was a huge volume of information shared in workshops that if considered as part of a formal meeting under standing orders, proper consideration would not necessarily be forthcoming.

Cr Wilson questioned the right to voice an opinion to the Press outside of Council or workshop sessions. In response Ms McFarlane said that Councillors are free to express their own opinion and make sure it was conveyed as such. She said where a decision had been made by Council or its Committee's and the Councillor opinion differed, they would need to ensure that they stated they were the minority but the decision is final. No Councillor can speak on behalf of Council as that has to go through the Mayor. Matters considered in formal public excluded sessions of the Council or its committees cannot be discussed with external parties or the press.

In relation to matters that are in the public arena, such as the recent water supply issue in Te Awamutu, Councillors are able to share factual information.

Cr Sharpe raised the point that the Code of Conduct talks about protocols around Regulatory Hearings and said that consideration should be given to those protocols being incorporated in all hearings, including those under the Local Government Act. Mrs McFarlane agreed to deal with this issue.

**RESOLVED**

1/11/74

*That*

- a) *The report of Jennie McFarlane, Manager Legal and Corporate Support be received; and*
- b) *pursuant to section 15 Part 1 of Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002, and subject to any amendments, Council adopt the proposed Waipa District Council Code of Conduct for Elected Members 2011 (document number 11110796).*

Mayor Livingston/Cr Scaramuzza

[Cr Wilson asked that his vote against be recorded]

[Threshold voting of 75% of those present to vote was achieved]

[Adjourned for morning tea at 10.15am and reconvened at 10.35am]

## **14 WAIKATO REGIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED (WRAL): PROPOSED SHAREHOLDER RELATIONSHIP GROUP**

---

Acting Chief Executive advised that a strategic review of WRAL had been undertaken at the direction of the shareholders. He said that the review was undertaken by Cranleigh in April 2011 and covered strategic direction, capital and accountability, and Board composition.

Mr McNeil indicated that the Cranleigh report did not identify any major issues, other than the need to change the performance monitoring arrangements of the Company and the Board. To this end Cranleigh recommended the establishment of a Shareholder Relationship Group (SRG), whose function would cover the appointment/removal of directors, board and Company performance monitoring and approving WRAL's statement of intent. The establishment and operation of the SRG was covered by the proposed Deed.

Mr McNeil said that the Mayor of each Council was the proposed appointee, and that the SRG would report regularly to Councils. He advised that the SRG could not be established until all shareholding Councils had approved the Deed.

Cr Webber said that the report and review was very good and Cranleigh had done good job of defining the relevant processes and issues.

**RESOLVED**

1/11/75

*That*

- a) *This information, encompassing the Cranleigh report and the draft Deed for the Shareholder Relationship Group, be received; and,*

*b) the draft Deed for the establishment of a Shareholder Relationship Group, in respect of Waikato Regional Airport Limited, be confirmed and the common seal of the Waipa District Council be applied thereto.*

Cr Milner/Cr Gower

## **15 ESTABLISHMENT OF CAMBRIDGE BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT – RECOMMENDATIONS FROM FINANCE AND CORPORATE COMMITTEE AND CAMBRIDGE COMMUNITY BOARD**

---

Cr Milner declared an interest and took no part in discussion or voting.

Acting Chief Executive R McNeil outlined the background to the proposed setting of a rate in the commercial area of Cambridge to establish a Business Improvement District. He said Council is the guardian of the process in terms of the outcome of the survey of businesses which will determine whether the rate will be set. The purpose of the rate is to fund the marketing and promotion of the area and those businesses within the BID area.

Group Manager Business Support, Ken Morris, outlined the postal ballot process to be followed by the BID group and the associated timelines. He advised that if there was majority support, at a level to be determined, from the businesses through the ballot, then the proposed targeted rate would be included in the draft 2012/22 Long Term Plan.

Cr Scaramuzza expressed concerns that the businesses and property owners are not well informed about the proposal and asked whether Council should be making an effort to inform them to ensure they have the full picture. He said the proposal had been in the local newspaper paper twice and that businesses had been provided with the brochure but there was still a very low awareness in the area affected by the proposed rate.

General discussion was held and a number of Councillors expressed the view that the promotion of the proposed rate was up to the Cambridge Business Improvement District Steering Committee and it was not considered Council's role to promote the idea.

There was consideration about what mandate should be required from the affected area before the Council decided to levy a rate and whether Council should be setting a guideline for the percentage of favourable returns before a decision is taken. In response Mayor Livingston said that the Cambridge Business Improvement Steering Committee had indicated that they would be in discussions with Council to determine whether the level of response did afford a mandate.

RESOLVED

1/11/76

*That the proposed Cambridge Business Improvement District targeted rates, as described in document number 11096284, be included in the draft 2012/22 Long Term Plan provided the postal ballot to be carried out by the Cambridge Business Improvement District Steering Committee demonstrates a mandate for the introduction of the rate.*

Cr Scaramuzza/Cr Finn

## **16 DISTRICT PROMOTIONS COMMITTEE – RECOMMENDATIONS TO COUNCIL**

---

Acting Chief Executive R McNeil introduced the item and said that the calibre and level of applications received for District Promotions funds saw the Committee wish to exceed their level of delegated authority. He said there was a sum of \$12,000 that remained unexpended from the previous year due to some events not being followed through and the Committee would like to include this funding in the allocation for this year.

In response to questions as to why only \$104,000 had been allocated and not the full \$112,000, Mayor Livingston said that of the applications received this year, the Committee felt that a commitment of \$104,000 was appropriate and the balance would be held in the event that any further applications were made.

Council noted that the Committee had expressed concern about the level of funding and that any revision this will be considered during the Long Term Plan process.

RESOLVED

1/11/77

*That the remaining balance of \$12,000 from the 2010/11 year Waipa District Promotion Fund allocation be carried forward to the 2011/2012 year.*

Mayor Livingston/Cr Thomas

## **17 CAMBRIDGE COMMUNITY BOARD – RECOMMENDATIONS TO COUNCIL**

---

Page: No papers

At its meeting on 7 September 2011, the Cambridge Community Board passed the following resolution:

*That the Cambridge Community Board request Council initiate discussions on the site and timing of a third bridge across the Waikato River by December 2011.*

Acting Chief Executive R McNeil advised that some initial work has already been done in relation to the river crossings in the wider Cambridge area and there is a project to consider this matter in the draft Long Term Plan programme.

## **18 PROPOSED NATIONAL CYCLING CENTRE**

---

File 20-07-05/3

Acting Chief Executive R McNeil provided the background to the item and stated that at Council's Strategic Planning & Policy May meeting it had agreed in principle to the \$1m grant to the Home of Cycling Trust, and that it was subject to four conditions.

In response to a question from Cr Wilson regarding changes to the wording of the four conditions, Mr McNeil advised that no meeting minutes had been altered and that concerns had been raised at the July 2011 Strategic Planning & Policy Committee. He said that at the time all Councillors were advised of the process for addressing concerns about the wording of conditions, which was by way of a notice of motion, but that no notice of motion had been lodged to consider that matter. Mr McNeil said that in his view the wording change did not alter the intent of the conditions, but ultimately it is up to Council to decide whether the conditions had been met.

Mr McNeil said that there had been information in the public arena suggesting that the Home of Cycling Trust no longer required the grant from Waipa District Council. He advised that the Chairperson of the Home of Cycling Trust was aware of this view, but had confirmed that Council funding was still required, and had covered this in a letter to Councillors, a copy of which was received at the meeting.

Mr McNeil added that there had been ongoing questions about the numbers of BikeNZ staff and athletes who would re-locate to the area once the Centre was built. He said that while this information had been considered in the due diligence process initiated by the Waikato Regional Council, the question of where in the region those staff and athletes were likely to reside was not considered. Mr McNeil felt that having up to date information from BikeNZ would assist Council in assessing whether Condition 3 would be met. The information received from Bike NZ was tabled at the meeting.

Considerable discussion was held regarding the proposal and Cr Finn said that Council had agreed in principle to give the grant and as far as he was concerned the conditions had all been met, and that any changes in the wording had not altered their intent. He said he would move the recommendation.

Cr Sharpe said Council should be looking to the future of Waipa and it is Waipa that will benefit more than any other area from the Home of Cycling coming to Cambridge. She said it is not the first time Council have given money to a Trust and said the Fieldays was a prime example of how these advances can work for the good of the Community. She pointed out that if Council had acted on what the public wanted then there are a number of projects that would never have got off the ground but are now acknowledged as essential to Te Awamutu.

Cr Wilson was concerned that the conditions had not been met other than the first one. He said Waipa will be paying a double whammy in rates so condition 2 could not be met. He said there was no guarantee that there will be one additional house that would be built and the facility would not be paying a full rate.

Group Manager Business Support provided clarification around the amount of properties that would be required to be built to service the loan at 50% of rating would be 34 homes. He said the intention is that there will be a separated rate to cover the loan and the intentions is that it would be uniform across the District.

Cr Taranaki was of the opinion that the conditions had been met but questioned how it would show on the rates demand. In response, Group Manager Business Support said that it would be shown as a line item.

Cr Simmons said that he respected the views of Cr Sharpe but said the projects in Te Awamutu were on Council land and the velodrome proposal was on St Peters land which could be seen as a gift to them. In response, Mr McNeil said that there appeared to be some mis-understanding about the status on which the Centre is proposed to be built. He said that St Peter's had publicly confirmed the ownership of the land will be transferred to the Trust and held by the Trust in perpetuity for the benefit of the community.

Cr Scaramuzza said that Council had already made their decision subject to the four conditions and it was his opinion that the four conditions had been met. He said the proposal was a unique occasion where this Council, the Regional Council and Government, along with community and corporate funders, would work in partnership on a project of national significance. He said that the decision whether to go ahead has been given to Councillors by the District's voters. He said that Council should be proud, there is no net impact on rates, no operational costs and it will have short and long term benefits to the District. He said elitism has come up many times from people in opposition to the project, but New Zealand celebrates sport and embraces events like the Rugby World Cup which is an example of this where they are celebrating the impact of the moment. It was his opinion that we cannot expect our sports people to perform at the top of the scene if these facilities were not provided. He said the project was good for the District, for New Zealand and New Zealanders and it will provide jobs and wider community benefits.

Cr Milner agreed that the conditions have been met and that people are all very good at knocking things before they happen and once they are there they enjoy the benefits.

Cr Barnes said she was going to vote against the proposal. She had concerns around the impact on the ratepayers an extra levy would mean as there are people who cannot afford food, petrol and are struggling to make ends meet. She was concerned that the economic information that was provided at the beginning of the campaign had been down graded and if that was how the Home of Cycling Trust business people have got that so wrong, then what will the reality be. In response, Mr McNeil said that the Home of Cycling Trust had not commissioned any economic assessments as these had been funded by Rotorua City Council and more recently the Regional Council.

In conclusion Mayor Livingston said it was important that the Regional Council be complemented for the way they conducted the submission process and came to their final decision. He said a weight of submissions is one factor but it is the quality and information contained within the submissions that hold a key. He said he was disappointed with the level of mis-information that continues to be fed to the public and Council should be thankful that the process has been thorough and that it has had full information on which to make a decision.

Mayor Livingston said that this would be the most critiqued proposition he had ever struck in his time in Local Government and we should be proud that the reason the Home of Cycling Trust and BikeNZ were coming to Waipa, as it was testament to what we have achieved and the District that we are. He said this was a unique opportunity and Waipa should embrace it. He said he supports the proposal and the conditions have been satisfied.

In his right of reply, Cr Finn said that Council needed to be strategic to secure these opportunities, and SPARC had signalled Waipa as their preferred location which fits well with the District's reputation as a hub of sporting excellence. He added that the Centre would be accessible to the public, and the Waipa economy stood to gain significantly from it. Cr Finn concluded by stating that Council needs to continue to focus on the strategy which is of greatest benefit to Waipa.

**RESOLVED**

1/11/78

*That*

a) *This information be received;*

- b) *Having considered Council's existing agreement in principle to provide funding of \$1 million to the Home of Cycling Trust for the establishment of the National Cycling Centre in Waipa District, the Waipa District Council confirms that funding; and,*
- c) *Staff be instructed to prepare a draft Funding Agreement for Council confirmation that sets out the conditions under which funding will be released to the Trust.*

Cr Finn/Cr Sharpe

The Mayor put the motion to the vote by way of a show of hands.

It showed:

8 for

4 against

[Crs Barnes, Gower, Simmons and Wilson requested their votes against be recorded]

Adjourned for lunch at 12.24pm and reconvened at 1.15pm

## 19 RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

Page: No Papers

**File: 01-37-01**

(Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987)

### RECOMMENDATION

*That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting.*

*The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:*

Cr Sharpe/Cr Taranaki

<b>Minutes / Report of:</b>	<b>General subject of each matter to be considered</b>	<b>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</b>	<b>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</b>
20 Circulated separately to Councillors	Securing access over and Lease of Maungatautari 4G4 Block	Good reason to withhold exists under section 7	Section 48(1)(a)

*That this resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the*

*holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:*

- ii Enable any local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations) Section 7(2)(i)*

## **20 SECURING ACCESS OVER AND LEASE OF MAUNGATAUTARI 4G4 BLOCK**

---

Page: Papers circulated separately to Councillors

This item was taken with the public excluded.

The public were readmitted to the meeting at 1.35pm

There being no further business the meeting closed at 1.35pm

CONFIRMED

.....  
MAYOR