SURNAME P – PART 1	PAGE NUMBER		
Packer, Christine	43		
Page, Julie	62		
Para, Gaile	35		
Parker, Hayden	2		
Parkes, Kirsty	26		
Payne, Owen and Shirley	45		
Payze, Anna	17		
Peart, Tony	71		
Peck, Colleen	28		
Peehikuru, Rachel	55		
Pekapekarau School	52		
Pendleton, David	20		
Pendleton, Roberta	4		
Pennington, Rebecca	6		
Penny, Brooke	22		
Pepperell, Bryce	64		
Perkins, Bridget	37		
Perkins, Mike	39		
Petch, Emma 30			
Peterson, Hollie	69		
Pevreal, Kate	11		
Phillips, Evonne	49		
Pivott, Andrea	76		
Porima, Kelly	34		
Poultrey, Joseph	41		
Powell, Nicholas	13		
Pretty, Sarah	66		
Price, Heather	47		
Pullenger, Josephine	58		
Pullenger, Samuel	24		

Form 13



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127 3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited	
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu	
I am am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of	the Resource Manager rent Act 1991.
I am am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of t	he submission that—
(a) adversely affects the environment; and	ne sabinission the
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade	competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to	are:
My submission is:	
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutr	al parts or all of
include—	¢
the reasons for your views.	0 1 1 1
This will bring a huge amount	of trucks into our
area. Traffic concerns, Environmente	el concerns.
Waste management convers.	
\mathcal{O}	
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:	
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amend sought	
I do not wan't this Waste plant	to go ahead
in our area.	<i>J</i>
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.	
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission	
(this means that you will speak at the hearing)	
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission	•
(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will r	not speak at the hearing)
☐ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting	a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the Foxes above, otherwise it will be deeme	ed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.	a cliet you do not wish to be heard
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.	
(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management A	ct 1991)

I request/do not request⁴, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/27

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant),

stal address: 1/201 So the man & Pa

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date consent authority or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition pro isions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied the at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or rievant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the natter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4),
Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

Various at trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

ALL OF THE APPLICATION

My submission is:

Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose parts or all of ☐ are neutral parts or all of ☐ include—

the reasons for your views.

flooding. "Currate change, Mazards affecting population + environme

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

COUNCIL TO DECLINE THIS APPLICATION. I WANT THE WARPA DISTRICT

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)

I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)

If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I rection do not request*) pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/27

Contact person: ROBERTA PENDLETON

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent

applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz. If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5

working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

• it is frivolous or vexatious:

• it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:

• it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:

• it contains offensive language:

• it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

LOCATIO	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am am r	not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ac	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—diversely affects the environment; and sees not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	ific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: submission (elates to the whole application.
My subm	declar les
•	
include—	parts or all of
•	the reasons for your views.
1 has	e included a letter as part of my application. This
letter	will give the reason for my views.
give precise sought	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
	and the Waipa District Council to decline this application.
I wish (o	r do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
☐	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
⊡	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You mus	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
	vill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
12/	. I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
134	(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

To whom it may concern,

Re: Global Contracting Solutions Ltd's 'The Waste Incineration Plant' application

Kia ora,

My name is Rebecca Pennington and I am writing to oppose the application to develop a Waste Incineration Plant in Te Awamutu. This letter is specifically in regards to Global Contracting Solutions Ltd's application. I oppose the application for the Waste Incineration Plant and wish for the application to be declined. This letter is directed to the Waipa District Council and the Waikato Regional Council.

I have lived in Te Awamutu for the last two years. My family consists of my husband, a cancer survivor, and two children, both who have respiratory challenges. One of my children has mild tracheomalacia. Both children use inhalers for respiratory issues. It is pertinent for me to mention the health of my family, as it is one of the large reasons as to why I **oppose** the application for the building of this incinerator.

The following reasons are why I oppose this application;

(1) The emissions of the chimney stacks and proximity to the BarnYard Daycare. My children attend the BarnYard Daycare that will be situated 150m away from the proposed incinerator. After reading the application that the applicant has submitted, I am not convinced that it is in the best interests of my children that this be built next to this education facility; nor any others for that matter. The emissions, although deemed in the proposal to be much lower than the safety standard, are only predicted. Therefore the toxins emitted cannot be guaranteed. This is highly concerning. The emissions would greatly impact the surroundings of the factory and that includes the BarnYard.

Alongside the emission issues, the entry road [called a "Collector Road" in the application] to the factory that is proposed is truly a residential road and would not be suitable for trucks to use. The children often take excursions from the BarnYard and their playground is very close to the road. The idea of the fumes and noise from the proposed trucks (including the ash bunker trucks which they haven't included in total trucks using the road in a 24 hour period)

that they would encounter in the playground of the BarnYard, and during their excursions, is not appropriate for the lungs of my children, nor other children and residents.

- (2) The diseases that will occur because of the emission toxins.

 An array of diseases, such as cancer, can occur due to the toxins from the emissions and ash. Some of these diseases and damage to the body have been included in the proposal which is alarming. The proposal states that children and the elderly are most at risk due to these toxins. These are the most vulnerable people in our society, and yet Global Contracting Solutions Ltd's is suggesting we build a factory within a very close vicinity to a variety of education facilities that are used to foster some of the most vulnerable people within our society. This includes my own children, who already suffer from respiratory challenges. My family, and other vulnerable residents of Te Awamutu, do not need added pollution in our air to further combat these diseases, nor do they need the worry of developing other diseases and illnesses because of this proposed incinerator. We also do need the added worry of developing other cancers due to these emissions.
- (3) The regulations that will govern the incinerator and risk of the incinerator.

 In the proposal the phrase "ground breaking initiative" and word "predicted" is used. This concerns me as paired together, it makes me question that Global Contracting Solutions Ltd can only predict such emissions [as mentioned above] and cannot give exact data.

 Also, because it is "the first of its kind in this country", the regulations are not within the current scope of New Zealand's governing bodies. The residents of Te Awamutu will essentially be guinea pigs in this operation. The incinerators that have been used overseas most likely do not adhere to New Zealand's unique context. Global Contracting Solutions Ltd have stated in the media that they have toured incinerators in Germany and Singapore; touring incinerators is not enough. Regulations need to go through our official governing bodies.

Putting an incinerator in the vicinity of a town that has not yet been tested in New Zealand seems ludicrous. In Te Awamutu we have felt the effects of earthquakes from Te Aroha and so are not immune from natural disasters and therefore the incinerator could be at risk from damage or implosion if this were to happen. Also, if the incinerator were to malfunction or catch fire, or a chemical or disease leak were to occur, the residential area would be greatly impacted. My concern is that the risks outweigh any benefits that this application suggests.

(4) Vulnerable and cultural communities that will be impacted.

My opposition here is to the placing of an incinerator in an area that is home to a low-socio economic demographic. The application states that this is the preferred location because of the comparative cost of the land and the positioning to the highway, compared to the other suggested sights. I oppose the building of any waste-to-energy in Aotearoa, however, in this particular application, I strongly oppose the building of waste-to-energy in a low socio economic area. I question the integrity of the application in connecting this incinerator to the whenua. In the application, the applicant speaks of the economic gains, and so has made a considerable effort to wash over the impact on the local residents. This is a case where the applicant deems monetary gain is worth more than the livelihood of the residents and the generations to come.

(5) The impact on the climate and green washing.

The emissions would also be adding to the emissions that New Zealand is trying to reduce. This seems counterintuitive and does not align with Te Awamutu, nor New Zealand's, climate change goals. Global Contracting Solutions Ltd have also stated in media releases that this technology is sustainable which is not the case. My concern is that once they run out of waste in New Zealand to burn, they will import waste from overseas. Also, the incinerator needs a certain amount of rubbish to burn and so there is the implication that we need to create waste in order to make this incinerator viable. This is not sustainable, nor is clean energy.

I hope that the above reasons make it clear as to why I oppose this application.

Kind regards,

Rebecca Pennington

84 Rutherford Street

022354300

I-request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signatu (or person	re of submitter: a authorised to sign on	behalf of submitter) (A sign	~ nature is not requ	ired if you make yo	our submission by electr	onic means.)
Date:	11/10/2023	Contact persor			NGTON	
		RUTHERF CRD e under section 352 of the A		TE DWAM	un, 3800.	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My submission relates to the applications of alabal contracting solutions Ltd.
My submission is:
Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include—
the reasons for your views.
Av, toxic byproducts, land pollution,
of homes in area.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
Tike the council to decline this
whole application process.
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

Form 13



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: PHRASE REFER TO MY FOUR SUBMISSION
ALL OF THE APPLICATION
My submission is:
Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose all of ☐ are neutral parts or all of ☐ include—
the reasons for your views.
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condition sought
PLEASE FEFER TO MY FULL SUISMMAISSION
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
☐ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

BRAUTITFUL TOWN WEXT TO SCHOOLS AND HOUSE'S. 1500 TRUCKS A WEEK 24/7 RUMBLING THROUGH OUR STEETS XIS UNACCEPTABLE. LACE OF TEMMISION CONTROL AND TESTING IS UNACEPTABLE. LEACHING OF TOXINS TO LAND WATER & AIR VIZRY CONCERNING EVERY THING ABOUT THIS TYPE OF OPERATION IS POSIN PROPUGAEING. EVERY THING ABOUT THIS PROPOSAL 18 SO WRONG. LOCATION, SMELL, NOISE, POS, N PEOPLE, TRUCKS RUMBUNG, THIS IS BAB NEWS FOR OUR TOWN AND I WOULD LIFE TO SEE THE APPLICATION REJECTER FULLY!

MY SUBMISSION ON THE NOTIFIED RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION. PROPOSED INCINERATOR.

COMMUNITY FACT SHEET TE AWAMUTU INCINERATOR

MY FULL SUBMISSION

Quick facts on the project

- Incinerator would burn tyres, plastics, household rubbish and "flock" (the leftovers from scrap metal process including metals, foam, rubber & plastics)
- Incinerator would burn 166,525 tonnes a year = 456 tonnes a day
- The company claims that the incinerator would create 23 tonnes of ash per day a "bottom ash" & 2t of "fly ash". This could be a gross underestimate of the amount of a created since most modern incinerators result in 10-20% of original weight in ash meaning it is more likely between 46-92 tonnes/day.
- Incinerator would operate 24-hrs/day, 7 days/week
- Incinerator would not take organic/food/sewerage waste
- The proposed site at 401 Racecourse Road is subject to severe flooding
- The proposed site is adjacent to residential housing, kura and food businesses
- Proposal is a "non-complying" activity under the District Council rules
- The incinerator would generate non-renewable energy, adding greenhouse gases to the atmosphere
- The company, GMS, was prosecuted in the <u>Environment Court for noise complaints by the Waikato Regional Council</u> for its metal shredding business at Frankton.

Community impacts

- Surrounding areas will be affected by toxic air emissions
- Persistent odour from the burning of tyres and plastic wastes
- Dust from the rubbish and ash being loaded/unloaded
- Community would become importer of waste including up to 3 million tyres
- Increases to road use and traffic in the immediate vicinity. Company documents save expect an estimated 84 additional truck/car movements per hour: 368 additional vertices movements including 60 HPMV (oversized/overweight trucks) and 200 standard to see per day
- Potential impacts to prices of residential housing
- Potential reputational damage to food producing businesses in the vicinity

I FIND ALL THESE FACTS ON
INCINIPATING RUISBISH

EXTREMLY CONCERNING AND
I DON'T THINK IT SHOULD ISIE
DEVISOPED FOR AT ALL ANY WHERE LET
ALONE IN THE MIDDLE OF - PLEASE TURN
ument Set ID: 111114979

Document Set ID: 11114979 Version: 1. Version Date: 16/10/2023 I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12-10, 24 Contact person: NICHOCAS

(name and designation, if applicant)

PAEBLOURSE

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act)

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
lam not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My Submission relates to are: Whole application from Global Convacting Solutions Limited.
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views.
See separate sheet
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought DECLINE TOERAGE Whole
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

OPPOSE ALL PARTS OF THIS SUBMISSION

The reasons for my views :-

- Production of toxic pollution (dioxins, sulphur dioxide, carbon monoxide etc)
- Increased carbon emissions that heat the climate
- Bad for human health and the environment
- Offensive odor from the constant burning of tyres, plastic waste, household rubbish & "flock"
- Incinerator proposed to operate 24 hrs/day, 7 days/week
- Huge increase in road use and traffic along Racecourse Road
- Proposed site is adjacent to residential housing, school, day care centre, Mangapiko Stream
- Undermines waste minimization + zero waste policies

Regeneration not Incineration!!

FROM ANNA PAYZE, 1128 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

a.l. Payse 11/10/23 I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date:

3 Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy Information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

19





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICAN	T'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION	1: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am n	of* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
Tam/am n	ot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(a) ad	versely affects the environment; and
(b) do	es not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	ic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My submis	ssion is:
Support pa include—	arts or all of D Oppose parts or all of D are neutral parts or all of D
	the reasons for your views.
Conplet	e lack of thought in all area of development. provider experies/knownelde, lack of reason into impact of and evicionent and long term finished cost to area
trum	provider experies/knowgolde, lack of leasen into impact CA
people	and evitarent and long term finited Cost to are
seek the	following decision from the consent authority:
	details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought	Const I late II coalit
+	wish the Concil to decline the application
wish (or c	lo not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
ø	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
Ø	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
ou must t	ick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we wil	I <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
Ø	I have somed a convert my submission on the ageliant
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: //

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/23 Contact person: D. Pendletan (name and designation, if applicant)

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am am r	a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ad	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— lversely affects the environment; and bes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
_	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
	whole application
My submi	
Support p	arts or all of Oppose parts or all of Oppose
This h	the reasons for your views.
give precise soyght	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions the incinerator
wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard II not advise you of the date of the hearing.
Ø	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person: Brooke

Postal address: 799, Fairview

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	IT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am r	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ad	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— eversely affects the environment; and sees not relate to trade competition.
The speci	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My submi	ission is:
Support p	arts or all of D Oppose parts or all of D are neutral parts or all of D
•	the reasons for your views.
I do	not want a plant burning toxic rubbish within the ential environment of Te Avanutu I do not want waste to enter either enter, air or earth.
give precise souaht	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions deny Land use consent. To deny building consent.
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
K	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
w/	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
ø	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I (request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

c	ian	2+111	+	cul	mit	tor.	
0	1511	atui	6 01	Suc	HILL	ler.	

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person: <u>Samuel Pullenges</u> (name and designation, if applicant) h. K.h. Road, Te Avanutu 3800

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act).

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







This is a submission on:

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
Lam am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991
I am and directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: All the application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose parts or all of ☐ are neutral parts or all of ☐ include—
the reasons for your views.
too close to our town and residential properties.
o M not want thus in the fewer where I live and have my
family.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
oppose this application. I want warpa District Council to
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I #9quest/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13.10.23. Contact person: Kisty Pa

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form !

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 2346 Resource Management Act 1994

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991
(a) adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
Japa Districk Carreil to decline this applicat
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose are neutral parts or all of onclude—
the reasons for your views.
Where do I Start. Unseen Air toxions, Soil pollution, Road noise, hune arount of extra Trafic, Pollution,
Risk Here an far! Prople and Humak are at
iseek the following decision from the consent authority: Here precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condition.
Decline Application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means

Date:

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

ostal address: WL

alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an each closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions at Pasof the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wess Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later the working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearing commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- * It contains offensive language:
- * It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person we not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(a) adversely affects the environment;

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission relates to the whole application

Mv	su	bm	ISS	ion	IS:

Support parts or all of	Oppose parts or all of	✓	are neutral parts or all of	
include—				

- the reasons for your views.
 - I reside as a directly affected resident. We bought our first house here in 2019. We have done a lot of work to our property to bring the value up. Now that the application is being considered for this plant, I can see the value of our house already decreasing. This is devasting and in a world where it is already hard to get ahead, I know that we will fall victim to being stuck. We will be unable to sell our property in the future for what it will be worth, due to a dirty rubbish burning plant directly nearby. I see no benefit at all to this outrageous idea of a plant that wants to burn the rest of the countries rubbish. All those direct residents will feel the effects of this plant and be in the same predicament we will be. Not to mention those houses that are being built on Taylor's Hill. Beautiful new homes will be clouded by a disgusting plant and worth half of what it cost to build.
 - In terms of human health, it's fair to say NZ struggles already with the ongoing pressure in the health sector. The hazardous pollutants that the plant intends on releasing, will do no favours to anyone in the district. Taxpayers will have to foot the bill and health insurance is extremely likely to rise with the ongoing medical issues this plant will inflict on the community. You cannot burn tyres and other forms of rubbish and then say that it will not have a negative effect on human health. It may not have an effect on the owners of this proposal as they don't care as its not in their community and directly effecting them.
 - In 2020 Global metal solutions were taken to the environment court due to excessive noise created by their premise in Hamilton. This brings no trust and confidence to those directly neighbouring this site.
 - This site is proposed right next to Fonterra Dairy factory. Dairy in New Zealand is the biggest exporter we have and is quite frankly the backbone of New Zealand. It kept our economy going through the hard times and continues to do so. How does one person think that burning tyres and other kinds of rubbish, right next to a factory that produces food product to export around the world, is any kind of a good idea. Fonterra run the risk of being



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

completely shut down from the toxic chemicals this plant intends on releasing, not only into the air, but into our water ways too. Fonterra is an integral part of the Te Awamutu community. It supplies a huge percentage of jobs to the community. More than what this plant ever will. I also note that over the stream is Manuka Health. Another factory that processes honey and exports all around the world. This factory is at risk of falling victim to the plant, much like Fonterra.

- Farms in this community and directly neighbouring this plant will also be negatively affected. They run the risk of losing a major dairy factory, and their farms have the potential to be shut down or be contaminated by the toxins from this plant. Let's also think about the fact that this neighbours onto the local racecourse that is still used by the local horse studs. Animals and humans breathing in these toxins is absolutely not acceptable. The racecourse is zoned to be built into residential housing in years to come. This stands in the development of Waipa. The plant to burn rubbish does not. No one wants to live next to a plant that burns tyres and rubbish that has trucks coming and going all day long, 7 days a week. We know that because the owners of Global Metal Solutions have chosen to build this plant in a community other than their own, because they too don't want to have to live next to it.
- Waipa is the "home of champions". However if this plant goes ahead, it'll be known as "home of burning the rest of the countries rubbish". As a community we do not want this to go ahead. We don't want them in New Zealand and certainly not in our community, smack bang in the middle of town. It is extremely likely that our roading infrastructure will not cope with an extra 60% of trucks coming into the township. Houses on Raceourse Road already shake from Regal trucks and other stock trucks coming and going. Our roads are already not coping with the current traffic, let alone if it nearly doubles. I see first-hand the condition that our roads are in. They aren't getting fixed. If we have an increase of 60% more trucks bring rubbish into our community every day, as a rate payer and taxpayer we will be footing that bill. I can't see how this 'waste to energy' is going to be doing our environment any favours when we are increasing traffic on our roads by that huge percentage.
- Burning rubbish is not sustainable, nor is it good for the environment. There is immense pressure on farmers to reduce emissions, however this idea is taking a backwards step to reducing the emissions in New Zealand. I cannot understand why the government would put so much pressure on farms to reduce emissions, but then let something like this happen, it is literally creating more emissions. Why should smaller regions like Waipa have the burden of dealing with Auckland and other regions rubbish. We are a small community that services our rural sector. We support our farmers and the export of dairy and meat. We don't support the burning of rubbish in our community. If we truly have a waste problem, we should be looking at places like Raglan and the way they deal with their waste. They don't burn it, instead looking at more environmentally friendly means to deal with waste. We need to be looking at reducing our consumption and better ways of recycling, rather than just burning it. In Sydney, they have decided that the proposal of similar plants is not a good idea and something they shouldn't be lumping on smaller communities. We



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

need to be looking at this and why they have decided not to go ahead with this. This is not the place for a plant. In the middle of a town, it is just not okay.

- The Racecourse has been spoken of being built into housing in a number of years. We cannot have families living next to a plant like this. It is not healthy for anyone.
- If this plant is built, we will be packing up, and moving out of the community. I will not be a ratepayer to this council if consent is granted. It is paramount to the safety and wellbeing of this community that this application is declined.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

I wish for this whole application to be declined

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

✓I do	wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

✓I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic m	eans.)

Date: 06/10/2023 Contact person: Emma Petch

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: emma.bethell77@gmail.com

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



From:

Kelly Porima

To:

Submissions; RCsubmissions@waikatoregion.govt.na; richard.falconer@terragroup.co.nz

Subject:

External Sender: Opposing the incinerating plant!

Date:

Sunday, 8 October 2023 3:02:25 pm

CYBER SECURITY WARNING: This email is from an external source - be careful of attachments and links. Please follow the Cybersecurity Policy and report suspicious emails to Servicedesk

To Waipa District and Waikato Regional Councils and Richard Falconer

Incinerating plant: rubbish to electricity at the cost of:

TOXIC WASTE PILES AND PROHIBITED EMISSIONS AND CONTAMINATION OF EARTH, AIR AND WATER...affecting plants, air, water, fauna, flora, animal life and human health.

27/1 Linehan Rd RD5 Te Awamutu 3875

The application numbers: Waipa District Council LU/0323/21 and Waikato Regional Council APP143988

This submission relates to the whole of BOTH applications.

For both Waipa District Council and Waikato Regional Council

On both applications numbers I OPPOSE this application and required the Waipa

District and Waikato Regional Councils to both DECLINE THIS APPLICATION.

I am considering my option to be heard in support of my submission.

I **do not** want this at all to go ahead for the health of myself, my family and my community.

Please do not allow this to happen, it would be truly devastating and detrimental.

Thanks,

Kelly





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	It's NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited I: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l -am /am n	ot* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) adv	ot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—versely affects the environment; and es not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
Ia	opposed to All the applications
Support pa include—	the reasons for your views.
Ia	ppose all the application.
	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions cont Waipa District Cauncil to decline the
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
ď	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
ď	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make	e your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 11 10 2023. Contact person: Gaile Para (name and designation, if applicant)	
Postal address: 12 Haultain St, Kihi Kihi	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ac	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— Iversely affects the environment; and sees not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The speci	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
A11	of the application
My submi	ission is:
Support p	arts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of O
•	the reasons for your views.
Desti oppo	roy quality of life for neighbours - comple site of district planning views. Ril to air quality of town residents.
	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought	I want the application declined.
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
₩ W	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard Il not advise you of the date of the hearing.
10	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 5.10.23 Contact person: Bridget Perkins

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 468 Mandemo St, Te Awanutu.

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu	
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 199	91.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:	
All of the application	
My submission is:	
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views.	
Completly against Waipa policy of Zero waste - increased focus on recycling!	
seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condition to the sought of the application of the	ons
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.	
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)	
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)	
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.	
ou must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be hea and we will <u>not advise you of the date of the hearing</u> .	rd
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)	

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 5.10.23 Contact person: Mike Perkins (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 468 Mandeno St, Te Awamutu

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
lam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Ac 1991.
I am/am-not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: Location of site nature of construction
My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views. Economic Sactors, environmental factors
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condition sought Location be changed to suit tracking or purpose of site to change to anit incinential aspect.
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
 (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/23 Contact person: Joseph Poultney

Postal address: 67 Mc Corath Place, Te Anum

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Submission on a Bothfold Lesoure. Consent Application Form 15



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
lam not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/amore directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
The entire application
My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views.
seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions tought
To decline the entire application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
ou must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard nd we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

D

 \sim Λ .

Signature of submitter:	palf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)	
Date: 13-10-23	Contact person: Christine Packer (name and designation, If applicant)	···
Postal address: 11736	Alexandra St. Te Awamutu 3800 der section 352 of the Act):	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am /am	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ad	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—dversely affects the environment; and oes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The spec	ific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
E	in representat issues & effects on le Acramata Communi
My subm	nission is:
	parts or all of \square Oppose parts or all of \square are neutral parts or all of \square
include—	the reasons for your views.
	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
I wish (o	r do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	It tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 6/10/202

Contact person:

Owen layne

Postal address:

Mountain View Dril

3800

for alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATIO	ON: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
lam/am	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) a	met directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—dversely affects the environment; and oes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	ific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
The spec	X.
	The entire application
Bernand Mark and Market and Appears	
My subm	ission is:
Support include—	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
•	the reasons for your views.
lna	properte land use.
Lots	et health hazzard
P-1	
	e following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
	o decline the entire application
	o active the entire application
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
***	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
AD.	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard II not advise you of the date of the hearing.
1	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: Which (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12-10-23 Contact person: Heather Price

The state of the s

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

Air and land pollution. The adverse effects on my town including aesthetics and also adverse effects on house and land values.

My submission is:

Support parts	or all of		Oppose parts or all of	are neutral parts or all of	
include—					
•	the reas	ons f	or your views.		

I am a ratepayer in the Waipa town of Te Awamutu. I am totally opposed to the proposal to build an incinerator right in our town. I can't believe that in this day and age of real concerns about our environment and the impact of climate change, that we would even be considering allowing such a polluting business to be developed right with the town of Te Awamutu.

I believe that the technology that is proposed to be used is untested and further to that, I understand that many such incinerators that have been built in Europe are being closed down due to the pollution that they have created in their environments. If the technology that would be in operation by Global Contracting Solutions is untested, it begs the question that why would we want to test this in our clean green environment amongst beautiful pastureland and the lakes and environs of the Waipa area.

I am hugely concerned about the level of contamination of our air and water ways. My family and my grandsons live nearby and I do not want to see us dying of cancers caused by dioxins, heavy metals and micro plastics. These build up over time in our body tissues and we **do not want this at all**. This is not even to mention the concerns I have about our herds of dairy cattle and sheep and other farm animals, that form a large portion of our productivity and prosperity.

The dangers are just too great to even consider allowing this plant to be developed.

I am asking that our leaders step up to the mark and stop this plant from going ahead.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I seek to have this application refused and that it is not allowed to proceed in Te Awamutu and even further, to include all of New Zealand.

I wish (o	r do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing
RECORD CONTRACTOR STREET, STRE	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we v	vill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: E Phillips

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11.10.23 Contact person: Evonne Phillips, ratepayer

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 9 Saddle Tce, Te Awamutu

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





include-

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

Lam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- adversely affects the environment; and (a)
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission relates to the whole application

My submission is:			
Support parts or all of	Oppose p	parts or all of	abla

are neutral parts or all of

the reasons for your views.

As a school board we have a legislative responsibility for the health, safety and welfare of our students (250 tamariki), staff, volunteers and whānau/community who engage with our school. We take this role very seriously, and with that, have concerns about the proposed location of this incinerator, which is about 3km away from our school. It is also in the direct proximity to other schools, play areas and marae that our school often visit and engage with. Our staff teach our tamariki to be the kaitiaki of the whenua and we are proud of how they have taken on this role with pride and actively been a part of promoting this responsibility. We have concerns that allowing this site to go ahead will undermine all the work we have done locally to grow pride in and take care of our whenua and people.

As representatives of our school community (the Pekapekarau School Board), we do not feel that we have been provided with enough evidenced information to have a clear understanding of what the risks are. We are also concerned that there has not been enough direct and thorough consultation work completed with groups like us who hold a responsibility of the care and wellbeing of Tamariki and who have an understanding of the vulnerabilities that exist within our communities.

We are particularly concerned by the release emissions which include mercury, dioxins and furans, particulate matter, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, acidic gases (i.e., SOx, HCl), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and brominated polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHS) - highly dangerous toxins. We are concerned by what the unknown long-term affects will be and the potential contamination of our local food/dairy production. We require more evidenced data to help our understanding with this, including case study analysis from overseas incineration plants which found that pollutants went far beyond the acceptable limits.

We would like to see more evidence that supports the suggested benefits of energy. It is also noted that there are just 2 supporting letters, one from Waipa Networks who clearly state that 'technical feasibility' require further detailed investigation.

Given that many within our community are only just learning about this proposal and do not feel properly informed, with the short deadline for submissions, the Pekapekarau School Board oppose the proposal on these grounds. We request that a more thorough consultation process takes place; and as people who live, play and work here, and as representatives who have a responsibility for the care of others, that we are respected enough to have evidenced data presented to us from independent, non-conflicted experts of the benefits and risks of this plant.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

We request that a more thorough consultation process takes place; and as people who live, play and work here, and as representatives who have a responsibility for the care of others, that we are respected enough to have evidenced data presented to us from independent, nonconflicted experts of the benefits and risks of this plant.

Document Set ID: 11115330 Jersion: 1, Version Date: 16/10/2023



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I wish	(or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	ust tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and Il <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
\square	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11/10/2/23

Contact person:

Erinna Lane

Presiding Member, Pekapekarau School Board

Postal address: Pekapekarau School, 742 Te Rahu Road, Te Awamutu 3800 (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

Document Set ID: 13/14/55330 Version: 1, Version Date: 16/10/2023

Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
	not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ac	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— diversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The speci	ific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission relates to the Whole application. I would like to be heard in support of my submission.
My subm	ission is:
Support p	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
•	the reasons for your views.
The	negative impacts the incinerator will have on me,
Wanti	lamily, friends & communite's health & well-being.
produ	
•	following decision from the consent authority:
	details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought /	want you to decline all parts of
	the application.
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
,	and the state of t
o	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
_	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
Ø	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

My Name is Rachel Peehikuru, I have been born and raised in the town of Te Awamutu, living here for 25 years and currently living with my partner and 1 year old child less than 1km away from the proposed site. My young child attends kura at Apakura te Kakano ELC, 650m away from proposed site. I work for a non-for-profit organisation within Te awamutu providing youth services and health as a registered nurse, working directly with the community. For the safety of my friends, family and my community, I oppose this application.

The incinerator will produce dioxins that are cancer-causing even in extremely low levels. These will be emitted into the air, and will settle on the land and in the water. There is no safe level of dioxins, and these are "bio-accumulate" - meaning that over time they build up inhuman fat tissue and in animals.

There is no assessment of land contamination included in the application. International research shows that the land surrounding incinerators can be extensively contaminated with heavy metals, microplastics and other toxic emissions including dioxin. This will directly effect me and my whanau as we live in such close proximity to the proposed area, working, exercising and sporting within this area.

The application contains no formal Cultural Impact Assessment. I believe fully informed consent from lwi and Hapu must be part of this proposal, including clear disclosure of human health and environmental impacts.

Furthermore, stormwater from the site will be discharged into the Mangapiko Stream. This water is likely to be contaminated with heavy metals and dioxin. Filtration systems and settlement ponds do not eliminate all of the toxic products meaning these will make their way into the waterways. The huge earthworks over several years will impact the health and wellbeing of the Mangapiko River.

A similar, larger, proposal for a waste-to-energy incinerator in Waimate, South Canterbury has been "called in" by the Minister for the Environment in part because this is new technology with national implications. Te Awamutu, a growing, thriving community, does not want to be a testing ground for this technology.

The reasons for my views are:

The effects this incinerator would have on my friends, whanau and community is monstrous. Affecting the health and well-being of each individual.

If this was to go ahead It would impact me, my family and wider community immensly. I would have to remove my child from schooling to protect him and his health, remove myself from studies at Te Wananga o Aotearoa to protect myself as both these buildings are in such close proximity to the proposed site. Furthermore I would begin to consider leaving the town due to the risks outlined above that come alongside the proposed incarcinator.

Jocument Set ID: 11115336 Version: 1, Version Date: 16/10/2023

Signature of subm (or person authorised to		Le. Ku If of submitter) (A sign	ature is not requi	red if you	ı make your submission b	y electronic means.)
Date:	23	Contact person		chel	Peehiku	iru
Postal address:	130			Te	Awamutu	3800.

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



include-

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	CANT'S NAME: Global Contra ION: 401 Racecourse Road,	O .	ed		
I am/ <mark>a</mark> ı	<mark>m not</mark> * a trade competitor fo	r the purposes of secti	on 308B of the R	esource Managem	ent Act 1991.
(a) (b)	m not directly affected by an adversely affects the enviror does not relate to trade comecific parts of the application	nment; and npetition or the effect	s of trade compe		
Му	submission	relates	to	the	whole
applica	ition.				
My sul	omission is:				
Suppor	rt parts or all of Oppos	e parts or all of ☑	are neutral part	s or all of	

the reasons for your views.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed construction of an incinerator in Te Awamutu. I firmly believe that this project poses significant risks to our community, our environment, and our future. I am writing to provide a personal perspective on the proposed construction of an incinerator in our town, as it deeply concerns my family's well-being and future. My husband and I, both in our early 30s, moved to Te Awamutu with our toddler son in pursuit of a healthy lifestyle, characterized by clean air, a rural environment, and the opportunity to raise our family in a pristine setting. The prospect of an incinerator being built in our town raises significant apprehensions for us personally and for our family. The potential pollution of the air, water, and soil poses a direct threat to our health and the health of our young son. It also jeopardizes our vision of providing a nurturing and environmentally-friendly upbringing for our child. Moreover, the adverse environmental impacts associated with the incinerator, such as emissions contributing to climate change, contradict the values we hold dear. We are committed to protecting and preserving our environment for future generations, and the construction of such a facility in our town directly contradicts this commitment. If this incinerator project were to proceed, it would force us to make a difficult decision about our family's future in this town. The risk to our health and quality of life is something we cannot ignore. We moved here to enjoy the benefits of fresh air and a rural lifestyle, and the presence of an incinerator would undermine those fundamental reasons for our choice to live in this community.

I urge the council to carefully consider the following reasons and reject the application for this incinerator:

Untested Technology: The incinerator technology proposed for Te Awamutu is untested in New Zealand, with no municipal solid waste incinerators currently in operation. Past experiences with incinerators in the country have been problematic, leading to dioxin contamination. The lack of experience in waste incineration by Global Contracting Solutions, a scrap metal business, raises concerns about their ability to operate the incinerator safely.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

<u>Cultural Impact:</u> The absence of a formal Cultural Impact Assessment in the application is concerning. Full informed consent from lwi and Hapū must be sought, including disclosure of potential health and environmental impacts. The proposed incinerator threatens the Taiea te Taiao ecological corridor, undermining efforts to enhance biodiversity and improve water quality.

Air, Water, and Land Pollution & Emissions: The incinerator will emit cancer-causing dioxins into the air, which can accumulate in human and animal tissues. The application lacks an assessment of land contamination, even though international research shows that incinerators can heavily contaminate the surrounding land. Stormwater discharge into the Mangapiko Stream could contaminate it with heavy metals and dioxin, posing a threat to aquatic life and water quality.

Inappropriate Land Use: The proposed site is unsuitable for an incinerator, given its current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation, which was intended for activities aligned with Fonterra's operations. Building an incinerator next to a milk production facility and residential areas conflicts with the intentions of the Waipaā District Plan and Growth Strategy.

Flooding: The entire site is a floodplain, and the incinerator buildings would increase flooding risk to nearby properties, including the Fonterra factory and houses on Factory Road. The lower building elevation proposed by the company increases the flood risk, potentially leaving the community with cleanup costs.

Climate Change: The incinerator's use of non-renewable feedstock contradicts efforts to decarbonize the energy sector. It will directly contribute to climate change by emitting around 150 kilo tons per year of CO2, making it much worse than landfill disposal.

Hazards, Risks, Toxic Ash, and Byproducts: The incinerator will produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash that must be landfilled, containing heavy metals, microplastics, and dioxins. The storage of highly contaminated wastewater and hazardous substances on-site poses spill and contamination risks. Feedstock, Waste Minimization, and Zero Waste Alternatives: The project would import most of its material from outside the district, offering little benefit to the local community. The lack of feedstock contracts raises concerns about potential hazards and emissions, working against waste minimization efforts.

Human Health: The incineration plant poses serious risks to human health by emitting cancer-causing dioxins, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, and particulate matter into the air. Studies have linked dioxin exposure to various health problems, including cancer, reduced fertility, and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

<u>Traffic and Community Disruption:</u> The incinerator would significantly increase traffic in a residential area, leading to air pollution and negatively impacting residents' quality of life.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

The proposed incinerator in Te Awamutu presents a myriad of significant risks and concerns that extend far beyond my own family's well-being. It encompasses issues related to environmental preservation, public health, cultural impact, and the overall quality of life for our entire community. The potential consequences are profound and far-reaching, affecting not only current residents but also future generations. Given the overwhelming evidence of these risks and the inadequacies in the proposal, I implore the council to act in the best interests of our community and the environment. The responsible decision, in light of these compelling concerns, is to reject the application for the incinerator. Doing so will safeguard our town's precious resources, protect the health and future of our residents, and ensure that the values and



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

aspirations we hold for our community are upheld. Thank you for your careful consideration of these critical matters and your dedication to the well-being of Te Awamutu and its residents.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
$ \sqrt{} $	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
V	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

0	ure of submitter:	
(or perso	on authorised to sign on beh	alf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date:	10/10/2023	Contact person: Josie Pullenger
		(name and designation, if applicant)
		Postal address: _329 Kihikihi Road, Te Awamutu, 3800
		(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a s	submission on:						
	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu						
Fam am	am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.						
(a) ac	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— Iversely affects the environment; and bes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.						
The speci	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:						
My subm	ission is:						
Support p include—	the reasons for your views.						
Da N	DOT WANT AN INCINERATOR IN TE AWAMUTU.						
	HEALTH AND PEOPLE'S PROPERTY VALVES WILL BE						
	TIVELY AFFECTED. IT WILL ALSO RUIN OUR AIR QUALITY,						
ODD 7 I seek the give precise sought	HAT AHU AKE IS ALL APOUT ENIVORMENT (SO THEY SAY), BUT following decision from the consent authority: COUNCIL SAYS INCL. NEXATOR IS Details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions						
1	OPPOSE TO THIS APPLICATION, I WANT THE WAIPA						
DIST	TRICT COUNCIL TO DECLINE THIS APPLICATION.						
wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.						
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)						
E	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)						
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing						

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13 oct. 2023

Contact person:

PAGE

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address:

THE PARTY OF THE P

ivyjp4@gmail.com

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

Document 11/11/2023





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
 I am/am-not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
$-\Delta$
My submission is:
Support parts or all of \square Oppose parts or all of $ u$ are neutral parts or all of \square include—
• the reasons for your views.
DONI MED POSION PARTICULA IN DUM 1000 DECLINE IN APPLICATION I WAIPA DISTAICE CONDUIT DECLINE IN APPLICATON Seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
DECLINE APPLICATION
DECARE APPLICATION
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/23

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

with	the	propose	d	treated	ninants associated air
discnarge					
My submission is:					
Support parts or all or include—	f ? Oppose par	ts or all of X	are neutral parts	or all of 🛽	
• the re	easons for your views.				
	e submission. The Worry of non compliance				
Awamutu_	. h. th. Danis and Co		Carrier all Instructions		
reserve the	n both Regional Coul right to have	a profession	0	my place	if necessary.
	decision from the duding the parts of the a		•	nd the general natu	ure of any conditions
1	object	to	all	the	submission

I wish to be heard in support of my submission.

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
- If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

Yes - I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of Sarah Pretty						
(or person autho	rised to sign on behalf of sub	 omitter) (A signatur	e is not required if y	ou make your submissi	ion by electronic me	ans.)
Date: 13 Oc	ctober 2023	Con	tact	person:		Sarah
	(nam	e and designation, i	if applicant)			
Postal	address:	P	O	Box	15,	Te
Awamutu(or alternative m	ethod of service under section	on 352 of the Act):				

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
All Of the Application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose parts or all of ☐ are neutral parts or all of ☐ include— the reasons for your views.
I personally don't want this to go ahead, as I have a 4year daughter with breathing issues. She has narrow airways and struggles to breath as is with non-polluted air. She also resides close by for daycare and should there be an explosion at the plant her class windows would be shattered resulting in horrible injuries to the children. I have grown up here and have chosen to raise my children here too. I would hate to have to move from my extended family who also all live here.
This is so dangerous for everyone who lives in Waipa. You will be affecting our town and the businesses. My partner's work is a huge business, and they will not want their workers doing any more road works in Te Awamutu or surrounding and that's a huge deal.
I think this will cause more problems for our people and for our police who will have to deal with all the crimes to do with the building because no one wants it here. I work in a natural sustainable shop and there is nothing natural or environmentally sustainable about this.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
Decline Application



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

✓ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
 ✓ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
 ✓ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

☐ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	-
(or person authorised to sign on behalf	f of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date:13/10/2023	Contact person:Hollie Peterson
	(name and designation, if applicant)
Postal address:2a Whi	tmore Street, Kihikihi
for alternative method of service under	r section 352 of the Act).

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited **LOCATION:** 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and (a) (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission relates whole application. to the My submission is: Oppose parts or all of Support parts or all of **are neutral** parts or all of

include—

the reasons for your views.

Oppose - I oppose this application. I want the Waipā District Council to decline this application. As a parent raising a young family in this town I do not believe this is an appropriate use of this districts resources for our future generations.

- There is no assessment of land contamination included in the application. International research shows that the land surrounding incinerators can be extensively contaminated with heavy metals, microplastics and other toxic emissions including dioxin.
- The site is totally unsuitable for a large scale waste incinerator. The current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation means that the land use is intended to ensure that any activity there was aligned with Fonterra's activities.
- It is not appropriate to have an incinerator burning millions of tyres next to a milk production facility
- This area is not identified as an area for industrial development in the District Plan. Two areas are identified for industrial growth: at Bond Road and Paterangi Road.
- The location of a heavy industrial operation immediately next to existing and planned residential housing, schools and food businesses, and operating 24-hrs/day, 7day/week is not appropriate and conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community.
- The very large size of the building and stacks does not fit in with the area. It will dominate, have a significant impact on the landscape and turn the entire area into the feel of an industrial zone. Entire site is a floodplain most of the site is designated a High Risk Flood Zone
- The river has been straightened and narrowed over time to enable development, this is now considered one of the major causes of flooding. Allowing rivers the ability to spread to accommodate severe rainfall events in future protects infrastructure, business and housing from inundation.
- The new incinerator buildings would increase flooding spread to the Fonterra factory and houses on Factory Road, numbers 331-467



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- The company wants to build its building lower than existing requirements (because it will cost them a lot more money to build to the required levels). This will mean even greater risk to the community.
- Insurance companies are warning New Zealanders not to build on floodplains due to climate change. The incinerator may become uninsurable, and the community left with the clean up bill.
- The incinerator will use non-renewable feedstock (plastic waste, tyres, mixed solid waste & flock) to create energy: this is equivalent to a fossil fuel production plant, but much dirtier and riskier because of the different composition of the materials.
- The addition of non-renewable energy from waste works against efforts to decarbonise the energy sector.
- The incinerator will be a massive contributor to climate change. It will directly add about 150 kilo tons per year of CO2.
- The facility would have a carbon footprint many times greater than the same amount of waste being sent to landfill
- The incinerator would produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash which has to be landfilled. Incinerator ash contains heavy metals, microplastics and dioxins.
- The storage of highly contaminated wastewater and other hazardous substances on site risks spills and wider contamination.
- There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. The community only has a volunteer fire brigade.
- Te Awamutu will need to import almost all of the material for this facility from outside of the district. This is not a proposal for the benefit of the community.
- The company has no contracts for the delivery of the feedstock except from its own operations (as Global Metal Solutions). This means it is impossible to know what hazards, risks and emissions exist because only a small percentage of the feedstock is known. It also means that the company is more likely to burn recyclable materials and other things because it must always continue to operate. It will directly work against efforts to minimise waste.
- The inclusion of 35,058 tonnes of plastic (as well as a considerable portion of MSW that includes plastic) does not align with the recently released National Plastics Action Plan for Aotearoa New Zealand by the Ministry for the Environment
- Incineration does not replace the need for landfills instead it takes ordinary materials and concentrates them into more toxic ash.
- The Waipā District Council has a great waste minimisation plan and opportunities for more comprehensive zero waste strategies that would fit with the goals of minimise wastes, while meeting community aspirations for a healthy environment, job creation and mitigation of climate emissions.
- Investing hundreds of millions of dollars into an incinerator locks in the need for continued production of waste, meaning the community misses out on other waste uses further up the waste hierarchy (like reuse, repair and repurposing).
- There is no human health assessment of this proposal
- The incineration plant is a hazardous facility with serious risks of harm to human health. The plant will emit cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter will be released into the air.
- Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkins lymphoma, increases in risks of miscarriages and pre-term delivery of babies. There are links to reduced male fertility. Exposure to particulate matter impacts those with respiratory problems such as asthma, cardiovascular issues, the elderly and children.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- In the Netherlands, research conducted indicated that the high dioxin output from waste incinerators could be responsible for contamination of cow's milk and meat. As a result, the production and sales of dairy products from was prohibited for several years.
- In 2016, human-made (anthropogenic) air pollution in New Zealand resulted in an estimated 3,317 premature deaths (in people aged 30+ years). The largest causes were NO2 and PM2.5. There were 32 premature deaths due to air pollution (PM2.5 and NO2) in Waipā District (among people aged 30+ years) in 2016. The incinerator will significantly add to these pollutants, and therefore, contribute to the premature death of Waipā residents.
- Under NZ's air quality standards, it is illegal to burn even one tyre because the health and environmental effects are so toxic yet, this project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes a year. Burning tyres emits cyanide, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and products of butadiene and styrene. And the smell of those tyres burning will fill the community with an unbelievable stench.
- The odour and dust have not been adequately assessed. There is no indication of how often the start up/maintenance will be done and levels exceeded.
- There will be significant additional traffic in a residential area, adding to air pollution and impacting those who are old, very young and immunocompromised. It will change the nature of the community from a quiet residential street to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks at all hours of the day and night.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

Decline the whole application.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

✓ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

✓ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: T. Peart

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11/10/23 Contact person: Mr Tony Peart

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 67 Page Crescent, Te Awamutu (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'	S NAME:	Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION:	401 Race	course Road, Te Awamutu

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that —

- adversely affects the environment; and (a)
- does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b)

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission relates to the whole application.

My submission	n is:					
Support parts include—	or all of		Oppose parts or all of	\square	are neutral parts or all of	
•	the rea	sons fo	or your views.			

This plant is too close to local Kura, residential housing and other business with large numbers of employees. The toxins produced by this plant will not only affect the local residents but also have the potential to impact other via our local agricultural sector. These toxins and nano-particles produced by the plant are absorbed by animals and once absorbed they are then passed into the food chain with alarming rates of health impacts. The benefits of this plant proposed by the applicant will be overstated and the health impacts to the community will be grossly understated. There is no way this plant should go ahead. Incineration of waste does not encourage people to reduce consumption.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

To decline the application in full.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
√	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

✓ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

		840//ivett
0	ure of submitter:	
(or perso	n authorised to sign on behalj	f of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date:	12/10/2023	Contact person: Andrea Pivott (name and designation, if applicant)

Notes to submitter

Postal address: 230 Cactus Court, Te Awamutu (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

