SURNAME P – PART 2	PAGE NUMBER			
Paerata, Deanne	9			
Page, Janenne	23			
Parlane, James	21			
Paton, Linda	43			
Paton, Michael	60			
Paton, Robert	57			
Paul, Awhina	36			
Paul, Khadine	17			
Peart, Emma	13			
Pepperell, Sandy	7			
PHA Te Awamutu	55			
Phillips, Jennifer	19			
Phillips, Joanne	5			
Phillips, Terence	29			
Phillips, Tom	2			
Pike, Kelli	41			
Pirongia Communities Association	65			
Pollard, Elizabeth	52			
Potter, Bronwyn	27			
Pratt, Naioli	11			
Prewer, Craig	46			
Procter, Georgina	63			
Purdie, Gwynneth	49			

Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My wife and I retired to Te Awamutu after many years living in various parts of NZ. We used to come here from Hamilton when we were first going out together. We used to picnic in the rose gardens. Te Awamutu was chosen by us because of its relative tranquillity; it's being surrounded by a beautiful natural environment, and the friendly people. We love smaller towns for the above, but also for so much more.

Now, having moved here to retire, I find that I'm now forced to show much concern that a waste incinerator, to dispose of rubbish from around the wider region is being considered to be placed in Te Awamutu. It beggars my mind that in this day-and-age, such a heinous thing is even being considered. I am concerned that such a device which has known issues in performance and impact on the environment is being even considered by the Council members in the face of their responsibility to look after their citizens; keeping them safe from poisons that will impact our community.

My submission is:

Support parts of	rall of \square	Oppose parts or all of	are neutral parts or all of	
include—				
•	the reasons fo	or your views.		

As stated above, the evidence for such waste incinerators from experts in New Zealand plus from overseas, alongside the failed efforts in Europe etc, to use such devices without negatively impacting the environment and nearby citizenry is beyond contradiction. I know at least one family who will be moving from Te Awamutu if the incinerator would ever, heaven help us, be allowed to be built in our town. Their fear, as is mine, is that despite what those who want to build such a factory say, dioxins plus many other forms of toxic substance can escape into the atmosphere. Overseas evidence leading to shutting down such plants would sustain this view. That being the case, why would anyone in NZ blindly follow on to what never worked in the experience of others.

I am also very concerned about the aesthetics of having such a monstrosity pouring out it fumes within the bounds of Te Awamutu. Such a building would put Te Awamutu into the area of 'places to avoid'. Who wants to go to a place in our beautiful Waikato which allows for such a thing to be built in spite of knowing that it's by-products can be so lethal to the environment and to the people who live here?



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

I seek that the waste incinerator as it has been set forward is NOT built here in Te Awamutu.

I wish	(or do not wish)	to be heard in s	upport of my submission.
I AAISII	(OI GO HOL WISH)	to be near a mi	apport or my submission.

	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
must	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
we wi	ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
	(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)
	□ □ must we w

I do not request, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: T.E.M. Phillips

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11/10/23 Contact person: Tom Phillips, Ratepayer

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 9 Saddle Terrace, Te Awamutu, 3800 (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu Lam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission is: are neutral parts or all of Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter?

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.









WDC REF: LU/0323/21

THE INFORMATION ON THIS PAGE IS REQUIRED FOR ADMINISTRATION PURPOSES ONLY AND IS NOT PART OF THE SUBMISSION.

YOUR DETAILS:	
(please write clearly)	*
Title:	Mr□ Mrs □ Ms□ Miss□ Dr□
Name of submitter:	Sandy Pepperell
Organisation: (if applicable)	
Address for correspondence:	
n)
	Post Code: 3800
Email:	
Contact phone number:	

PLEASE NOTE:

The Resource Management Act 1991 requires submissions to be made available to the public.

Your contact details are collected:

- To arrange a hearing date and time for you to speak (if you choose to); and
- To inform you of any decisions made on issues covered by your submission.

Your name and address will be publicly available. If you do not supply your name and address the Council will formally receive your submission, but will not be able to inform you of the outcome.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
Tam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am-not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
n de control de la control de
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
include— the reasons for your views.
Dant want Poison Particles in our town
- want warpa District council to decline this
HPPIICOND:
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought Desland Annly Trans
rectine i ppincamon
×
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
i derrigatii i	a trade competitor for the purposes of section 3000 of the Resource Management Act 1331.
	versely affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that versely affects the environment; and
	es not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specif	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My submi	ission is:
Support p	arts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
•	the reasons for your views.
	1 OPPOSE IT AS THIS NILL AFFECT OUR TURNET GENERATIONS AND ENVIRONTING.
	FURURE GENERALIONS AND
	TACULER MATERIAL
	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought	· ·
	I WANT YOU TO DECLINE THE REPUICATION
wich for	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
WISH (OI	do not wish, to be heard in support of my submission.
Ø	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
_/	
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions. powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12 - (1 - 22

Contact person: DEANNE (name and designation, if applicant)

WHITAKER STREET

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act)

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ac (b) dc	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—diversely affects the environment; and per not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. If the whole application
My subm	ission is:
Support p	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of O
•	the reasons for your views.
Du	e to the negative impact to the environment
ar	d the community
	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions Decline the application
Lwish for	do not wish) to be board in support of my submission
wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
13	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
D	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard II not advise you of the date of the hearing.
D /	I have carried a convert my submission on the analisant
17	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

	Nan Prass Chalf of submitter) (A signatu	re is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)	
Date: 12/10/23	Contact person:	NAIOLI PRATT if applicant)	
Postal address:		Cambridge	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME:	Global	Contracting	Solutions	Limited
-------------------	--------	-------------	-----------	---------

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission relates to the whole application.

My submission is:

Support parts or all of	Oppose	parts or all of	R	are neutral parts or all of	
include—					

the reasons for your views.

Oppose - I oppose this application. I want the Waipā District Council to decline this application. As a parent raising a young family in this town I do not believe this is an appropriate use of this districts resources for our future generations.

- There is no assessment of land contamination included in the application. International research shows that the land surrounding incinerators can be extensively contaminated with heavy metals, microplastics and other toxic emissions including dioxin.
- The site is totally unsuitable for a large scale waste incinerator. The current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation means that the land use is intended to ensure that any activity there was aligned with Fonterra's activities.
- It is not appropriate to have an incinerator burning millions of tyres next to a milk production facility
- This area is not identified as an area for industrial development in the District Plan. Two areas are identified for industrial growth: at Bond Road and Paterangi Road.
- The location of a heavy industrial operation immediately next to existing and planned residential housing, schools and food businesses, and operating 24-hrs/day, 7day/week is not appropriate and conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community.
- The very large size of the building and stacks does not fit in with the area. It will dominate, have a significant impact on the landscape and turn the entire area into the feel of an industrial zone. Entire site is a floodplain most of the site is designated a High Risk Flood Zone
- The river has been straightened and narrowed over time to enable development, this is now considered one of the major causes of flooding. Allowing rivers the ability to spread to accommodate severe rainfall events in future protects infrastructure, business and housing from inundation.
- The new incinerator buildings would increase flooding spread to the Fonterra factory and houses on Factory Road, numbers 331-467



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- The company wants to build its building lower than existing requirements (because it will cost them a lot more money to build to the required levels). This will mean even greater risk to the community.
- Insurance companies are warning New Zealanders not to build on floodplains due to climate change. The incinerator may become uninsurable, and the community left with the clean up bill.
- The incinerator will use non-renewable feedstock (plastic waste, tyres, mixed solid waste & flock) to create energy: this is equivalent to a fossil fuel production plant, but much dirtier and riskier because of the different composition of the materials.
- The addition of non-renewable energy from waste works against efforts to decarbonise the energy sector.
- The incinerator will be a massive contributor to climate change. It will directly add about 150 kilo tons per year of CO2.
- The facility would have a carbon footprint many times greater than the same amount of waste being sent to landfill
- The incinerator would produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash which has to be landfilled. Incinerator ash contains heavy metals, microplastics and dioxins.
- The storage of highly contaminated wastewater and other hazardous substances on site risks spills and wider contamination.
- There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. The community only has a volunteer fire brigade.
- Te Awamutu will need to import almost all of the material for this facility from outside of the district. This is not a proposal for the benefit of the community.
- The company has no contracts for the delivery of the feedstock except from its own operations (as Global Metal Solutions). This means it is impossible to know what hazards, risks and emissions exist because only a small percentage of the feedstock is known. It also means that the company is more likely to burn recyclable materials and other things because it must always continue to operate. It will directly work against efforts to minimise waste.
- The inclusion of 35,058 tonnes of plastic (as well as a considerable portion of MSW that includes plastic) does not align with the recently released National Plastics Action Plan for Aotearoa New Zealand by the Ministry for the Environment
- Incineration does not replace the need for landfills instead it takes ordinary materials and concentrates them into more toxic ash.
- The Waipā District Council has a great waste minimisation plan and opportunities for more comprehensive zero waste strategies that would fit with the goals of minimise wastes, while meeting community aspirations for a healthy environment, job creation and mitigation of climate emissions.
- Investing hundreds of millions of dollars into an incinerator locks in the need for continued production of waste, meaning the community misses out on other waste uses further up the waste hierarchy (like reuse, repair and repurposing).
- There is no human health assessment of this proposal
- The incineration plant is a hazardous facility with serious risks of harm to human health. The plant will emit cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter will be released into the air.
- Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkins lymphoma, increases in risks of miscarriages and pre-term delivery of babies. There are links to reduced male fertility. Exposure to particulate matter impacts those with respiratory problems such as asthma, cardiovascular issues, the elderly and children.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- In the Netherlands, research conducted indicated that the high dioxin output from waste incinerators could be responsible for contamination of cow's milk and meat. As a result, the production and sales of dairy products from was prohibited for several years.
- In 2016, human-made (anthropogenic) air pollution in New Zealand resulted in an estimated 3,317 premature deaths (in people aged 30+ years). The largest causes were NO2 and PM2.5. There were 32 premature deaths due to air pollution (PM2.5 and NO2) in Waipā District (among people aged 30+ years) in 2016. The incinerator will significantly add to these pollutants, and therefore, contribute to the premature death of Waipā residents.
- Under NZ's air quality standards, it is illegal to burn even one tyre because the health and environmental effects are so toxic yet, this project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes a year. Burning tyres emits cyanide, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and products of butadiene and styrene. And the smell of those tyres burning will fill the community with an unbelievable stench.
- The odour and dust have not been adequately assessed. There is no indication of how often the start up/maintenance will be done and levels exceeded.
- There will be significant additional traffic in a residential area, adding to air pollution and impacting those who are old, very young and immunocompromised. It will change the nature of the community from a quiet residential street to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks at all hours of the day and night.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

Decline the whole application.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

✓ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

✓ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991) I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: E. Peart

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12/10/23 Contact person: Mrs Emma Peart

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 67 Page Crescent, Te Awamutu (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4),

Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

LU/0323/21

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu Lam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. am)net-directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b) The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: Environment waste to energy via inchaeration ostly, but extremely detrimenta (especially with respitory My submission is: Oppose parts of all of Support parts or all of are neutral parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought decline and I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) N If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing. I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 11-10-2023 Contact person: Khadune (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 24 ballonce Street, Kinikini

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 19
I am/am-not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
THE WHOLE APPLICATION
My submission is:
,
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
include— • the reasons for your views.
LINKNOWN CONSEQUENCES OF WASTE DISPOSAL
EXTRA PREGENCE ON COUNCIL TO UPBRADE ROADS
FOR EXTRA HEAVY TRAFFIC USE.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condi- sought
DECLINE THE APPLICATION TOTALLY
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be he
and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
/
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on be	ehalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)	
Date: 12.10. 23	Contact person: <u>JENNIFER</u> PHILLIPS. (name and designation, if applicant)	
Postal address: (or alternative method of service u	TE TOMO ST TE AWAMUTU 3800.	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

10.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



20



Waipa

DISTRICT COUNCIL

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am not) a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
Emission to the dir Ad Adverse Effects on Mc Enun
My submission is:
Support parts or all of ☐ Oppose parts or all of ☐ are neutral parts or all of ☐ include—
Por prough les seen done to milisate adderse
Met pot anough is 4-own about Entres use or nea
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought Let A Carlo
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12/10/202

Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant,

Postal address

or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

Location: Primarily the proposed locations proximity to residential zone, prime agricultural productive land, Te Awamutu CBD, future residential development areas, schools and child care.

Environmental and Health effects: Noise pollution to residential zone. Environmental impacts on air, water and land. Proximity to Mangapiko Stream. Impact on climate. The plant would create dioxins and emission where none existed before.

Traffic movements: Proposal to freight waste from out of area and the number or truck movements this will create through the residential area.

Impact on property values: The presence of an incinerator has a statistically significant negative effect on the value of residential property.

My submission	on is:				
Support parts include—	or all of		Oppose parts or all of	are neutral parts or all of	
•	the rea	sons f	for your views.		

Te Awamutu is not the right fit for this plant.

Health: The plant would create dioxins where none existed before. Even if the applicant states safe levels. Technology is known to fail. Denmark, the poster child of Europe's incineration industry, discovered that its incinerators were releasing twice the amount of CO₂ than originally estimated, which led the country to miss its Kyoto Protocol greenhouse gas reduction targets. In comparison, a study by the United States Environmental Protection Agency concluded that up to 42% of US greenhouse gas emissions could be mitigated through Zero Waste strategies.

Emissions including dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, carbon monoxide, mercury, nitrogen dioxide, & hydrogen chloride contaminating people as well as air, water and soil pollution.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

Thousands of tonnes of PM10 & PM2.5 released into the air. At risk are elderly, children, those with respiratory, cardio-pulmonary, bronchitis, asthma and other lung and heart conditions.

Incinerators are major emitters of carcinogenic pollutants as well tiny particles of dust that can lead to decreased lung function, irregular heartbeat, heart attacks, and premature death, damage to the immune system and cancer.

The World Health Organization sites dioxin to be the most carcinogenic substance known to science. Even a very tiny quantity of dioxins can be dangerous.

Persistent odour from the burning of tyres and plastic wastes. Dust and fly off from the rubbish and ash being loaded/unloaded.

Environmental: Burning waste is hazardous for citizens' health and the environment. Even the most advanced technologies cannot avoid the release of vast amounts of pollutants that contaminate air, soil and water, and end up entering the food chain.

Under New Zealands air quality standards, it is illegal to burn tyres because of the health and environmental effects. This project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes a year (approximately 3 million tyres). Burning tyres emits cyanide, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and products of butadiene and styrene.

Use of wastewater. The plant would use large quantities of water including 200kg/hr of contaminated ash treatment water which would be sent to landfill, and 2.65t/hr of general wastewater.

Some water would be discharged into the Mangapiko Stream. This is also on flood prone/risk area as per the District Plan and on the Engineering report in the application. There are currently local volunteer groups which are restoring the stream banks with native bushes and trees. Farmers have also replanted their stream banks to stop them collapsing.

Noise: Te Awamutu already has issues with noise from the recycling centre on Bruce Berquist Drive adjoining onto residential area. This new plant would have the additional issues of not creating noise but also ordor, displaced rubbish and emissions fallout. This will not doubt end up with increased costs to Council for monitoring by Enforcement.

Property values: A 2016 review on the effect three incineration plants in Hangzhou China found that the presence of an incinerator has a statistically significant negative effect on the value of residential properties within 3 kilometers, with the 1 kilometer band which is most close in proximity showing a 25.4% reduction, and the furthest affected band (2-3 kilometers away) showing a 14% reduction. These effects were confirmed in other studies ie one in Boston.

Even properties outside the immediate 3 kilometres would be in a town with this plant which would affect the overall rural provincial feel of our town that Waipa is so proud of, and that draws growth to our district.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

Waste minimisation: Even if the applicant believes it is an effective movement forward in waste reduction, that idea does not fit when as a country we are being lead by central government to look towards a future where we generate less waste ie the plastics bans, and emission less greenhouse gases.

Developed countries are shifting away from incineration and embracing Zero Waste paths.

It is typical for countries that encourage waste burning to have low recycling rates as a result. Data on household waste in Denmark clearly shows this trend, with the regions that have high incineration rates recycling less and vice versa.

The applicant would market "waste-to-energy" as a source of renewable energy. But unlike wind, solar or wave energy, waste doesn't come from infinite natural processes.

They also are looking to importing waste. This also goes against the national idea of reduction of emissions and waste.

Climate change impact: According to an independent report commissioned as part of the proposal, the incinerator would have a massive carbon footprint because it is effectively just burning fossil fuels in the form of plastic waste & tyres. There would be an additional 150 kt p/a CO2e from the combustion itself.

	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
Decline t	he application
I wish (o	r do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
×	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard vill not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

 \boxtimes

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12/10/2023

Contact person: Janenne Page

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: kiwineen@gmail.com

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
Tam not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: The entire application
My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— the reasons for your views. I pon't want me and my family exposed to harmful toxin in and arround our area. Te Awamutu should not be a testing ground for this. I seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought To decline the application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

-	ure of submitter: on authorised to sign on be	H. M. Po half of submitter) (A signatur	Her re is not required if you me	ake your submission by ϵ	electronic means.)
Date:	12/10/23	Contact person:	Bronwyn	Potter	

Postal address: 504 Swarbrick Drive, Te Avamutu

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

(name and designation, if applicant)

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

Anı	olica	ation	25 2	who	P
ΔDI	JIICC		asc	VVIIO	10

				•	
My	su	bm	ISS	ion	IS:

Support parts or all of—□	Oppose parts or all of	×	are neutral parts or all of — 🖯
include—			

I am writing to oppose the application to develop the Waste Incineration Plant in Te Awamutu, on Racecourse Road. This submission is specifically in regards to Global Contracting Solutions Ltd's application. Reasons for my opposition are listed below.

Site Significance

- Proximity to residential areas, including Early Childhood Centres, Kohanga Reo, Kura, High School is of extreme concern.
- Residential zoning encompasses this entire site. Despite this portion of land where the development is being proposed is currently classed as industrial, the wider residential areas are significantly disadvantaged and impacted from its presence. The only access point for this property, is entirely through current residential zoning, and a future residential growth cell (T13).
- The overall height of the building is not compliant with the District Plan, and is more than double the existing permitted heights this will have an overwhelming impact on nearby residents who will have visual amenity values diminish. Staging the impact of the large building (furnace hall) with other parts of the building in front of it, does not reduce the visual impact this facility will have. Nor does decorating the façade with designs. The facility is large, and will have 38m high stacks reaching into the skyline. This is not insignificant, nor disguisable.

Transportation/Traffic

- The proposed site is located directly on the fringe of our township, and access to the site is required through a residential area.
- The site proposes to operate 24/7, 365 days of the year and requires deliveries from heavy vehicles on all of those days and introduce **378 additional** vehicle movements per day.
- The only restriction the proposal seems to offer to nearby residents in terms of a break from these
 traffic movements is an extra hour in the morning on weekends and public holidays. This is
 completely unacceptable, and will be placing incredible stress, and safety concerns on a residential



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

neighbourhood that would have to compete with industrial activity if this proposal were to be granted.

- Access to this site via Racecourse Road significantly increases safety concerns for not only residents, but also for access to one of the towns largest Early Childhood Centre that is located within 150m of the entrance to this site.
- The road is designed for small vehicles, not large, and is not suitable to handle the large vehicles that would be required to come to this site including up to 60 heavy vehicle movements per day. This further threatens existing residents, and day care centres that already operate in this area.

Water, Wastewater and Stormwater

- A significant amount of contaminated wastewater will be produced as a part of this proposal. The
 applicants solution to this is to transport it offsite and out of district as this is unable to be (or
 considered to be) treated as part of their facility design and development.
- There are no attempts for pre-treatment at this facility of the wastewater that is produced as a result of the facilities processes. Water is a finite resource, and it is disappointing that this applicant and applications makes no regard to reducing their environmental impacts, particularly around our water resources, and giving effect to Te Mana o te Wai.
- Water conservation and the treatment of contaminated wastewater is not discussed or considered in this application. Instead the applicants solution to this, is to tanker the wastewater offsite and out of district removing any responsibility around regulation.
- The transportation of wastewater out of district further adds to carbon emissions with transportation, and providing another waste water stream that would not previously exist if this facility did not operate. This demonstrates no betterment to our current environmental situation.
- This site is located within a high flood-zone and as discussed in the application, under a 100 year flood, the site will be submerged. Given Climate Change, the frequency of 1 in 100 year storms are becoming more frequent. There is no assessment of climate change, and the impacts this will have on the flood risk of this site.
- The application also does not address any mitigations of such flooding risk on the site and the management of contaminants contained within site, and how they will be prevented from entering the environment in the likelihood of a flood (in particular, the Mangapiko Stream which surrounds the site). Chemicals, by-products, and refuse all stored on site are all at risk of entering the environment in the high likelihood of a flood at this site.
- The application states that in a 1 in 10 year storm event, no erosion or scour would occur at the stormwater discharge point. There is also no consideration made towards mitigations on any scour of the erosion point at the Mangapiko Stream following larger stormwater events. This is of concern, again for the preservation of a stream that is under significant focus to improve the water quality of, and reduce sediment loads and quality.

Waste Minimisation

- National Waste Strategy specifically says incineration of mixed municipal waste does not align with a circular economy.
- Locally, the Waste Minimisation Management Plan includes the government targets to reduce household waste generation. Having an incinerator that needs large volumes of waste is therefore always going to hinder local and national efforts to reduce consumption, and encourage reuse, recycling, reduction, and repurpose. Investing hundreds of millions of dollars into an incinerator



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

locks in the need for continued production of waste, meaning the community misses out on other waste uses further up the waste hierarchy (like reuse, repair and repurposing).

- The WMMP also includes a plan for a network of resource recovery centres. Xtreme Zero Waste in Raglan diverts 75% waste from landfill. This incinerator proposal is looking at 20%.
- This proposed development in it's entirety contradicts our districts plans for waste management and does not align with the national direction for handling waste.
- This site would need to import almost all of the material for this facility from outside of the district. This is not a proposal for the benefit of the community. The company has no contracts for the delivery of the feedstock except from its own operations (as Global Metal Solutions). This means it is impossible to know what hazards, risks and emissions exist because only a small percentage of the feedstock is known. It also means that the company is more likely to burn recyclable materials and other things because it must always continue to operate. It will directly work against efforts to minimise waste.
- The inclusion of 35,058 tonnes of plastic (as well as a considerable portion of MSW that includes plastic) does not align with the recently released National Plastics Action Plan for Aotearoa New Zealand by the Ministry for the Environment
- Incineration does not replace the need for landfills instead it takes ordinary materials and concentrates them into more toxic ash, which too, is destined for landfill.

Carbon Emissions and Climate Change

- The application states this proposal is a first of it's kind in our country and a new era for electricity
 generation. These types of electricity generators do not exist in our country and have been
 declined for a number of years due to poorly tested technologies, failed examples internationally,
 and for significant health and environmental concerns. This, is no exception.
- The incinerator will use non-renewable feedstock (plastic waste, tyres, mixed solid waste & flock) to create energy: this is equivalent to a fossil fuel production plant, but much dirtier and riskier because of the different composition of the materials.
- The fuelstock is not renewable nor sustainable. The addition of non-renewable energy from waste works against efforts to decarbonise the energy sector.
- The incinerator will be a massive contributor to climate change. It will directly add about 150 kil tons per year of CO2.
- The facility would have a carbon footprint many times greater than the same amount of waste being sent to landfill.
- The facility requires auxiliary burners fueled by diesel if the fuelstock (refuse) does not deliver required temperatures. This is also a non-renewable energy source, and requires the use of fossil fuels to ensure the facility can operate, contributing to our nations carbon emissions.

Environmental Health

- The incinerator would produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash which has to be landfilled. Incinerator ash contains heavy metals, microplastics and dioxins.
- Taiea te Taiao was created to promote an ecological corridor to link Maungatautari and Pirongia te aroaro o Kahu. This corridor will reconnect these maunga/mountains with biodiversity plantings which will enhance native species present, transform iwi connections to the Mangapiko stream, and ultimately improve water quality. An incinerator development will undermine these efforts.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- There also has been no ecological impact assessments this site would have on the biodiversity of this area, in particular our native species for example bats, insects, flora and fauna. There are significant impacts generated by this facility which are not considered in this application, and is a mockery of the ecological corridor work that is well underway, in this location.
- Noise levels predicted by this facility are also likely to be higher than those predicted under the application. Figures provided in table 13 already indicate there are likely to be non-compliances with neighbouring properties, and introduce a significant noise polluter to our town and given predominant wind direction (westerly), this will impact the entire township.
- The incinerator will produce dioxins that are cancer-causing even in extremely low levels. These
 will be emitted into the air, and will settle on the land and in the water. There is no safe level of
 dioxins, and these "bio-accumulate" meaning that over time they build up in human fat tissue
 and in animals.
- There is no assessment of land contamination included in the application. International research shows that the land surrounding incinerators can be extensively contaminated with heavy metals, microplastics and other toxic emissions including dioxin.
- One of the emissions from burning tyres/tyre derived fuel is zinc oxide which has not been modelled and which is highly toxic to aquatic life.
- The huge earthworks over several years will impact the health and wellbeing of the Mangapiko River

Human Health

- There is no human health assessment in this proposal. The incineration plant is a hazardous facility with serious risks of harm to human health.
- The plant will emit cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter will be released into the air.
- Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkins lymphoma, increases in risks of miscarriages and pre-term delivery of babies. There are links to reduced male fertility. Exposure to particulate matter impacts those with respiratory problems such as asthma, cardiovascular issues, the elderly and children.
- In the Netherlands, research conducted indicated that the high dioxin output from waste incinerators could be responsible for contamination of cow's milk and meat. As a result, the production and sales of dairy products from was prohibited for several years.
- In 2016, human-made (anthropogenic) air pollution in New Zealand resulted in an estimated 3,317 premature deaths (in people aged 30+ years). The largest causes were NO2 and PM2.5. There were 32 premature deaths due to air pollution (PM2.5 and NO2) in Waipā District (among people aged 30+ years) in 2016. The incinerator will significantly add to these pollutants, and therefore, contribute to the premature death of Waipā residents.
- Under NZ's air quality standards, it is illegal to burn even one tyre because the health and
 environmental effects are so toxic yet, this project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes a year.
 Burning tyres emits cyanide, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and products of butadiene and
 styrene. And the smell of those tyres burning will fill the community with an unbelievable stench.
- The odour and dust have not been adequately assessed. There is no indication of how often the start up/maintenance will be done and levels exceeded.
- There will be significant additional traffic in a residential area, adding to air pollution and impacting those who are old, very young and immunocompromised. It will change the nature of the



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

community from a quiet residential street to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks at all hours of the day and night.

Cultural Impact

- A significant conflict of interest exists with this application and has resulted in a lack of Cultural Impact or engagement around this proposal, in particular, avoiding sharing through Nga Iwi Toopu o Waipā in order to expedite the application process (reference the 'letter of support').
- The company identifies that they are a Māori majority business, and want to reassert mana whenua back into Te Awamutu, however wider iwi engagements have not occurred with this application.
- Fully informed consent from Iwi and Hapu must be part of this proposal including clear disclosure of human health and environmental impacts.
- The application states that letters of support are likely to follow post-lodgement from Fonterra and Waikato Tainui, and they will be appended to the application. Unlike the applicants statement, there have been no letters of support provided. Consultation, is not just a conversation without full context, and before the development of an Assessment of Environmental Effects, and the request of Cultural Impact Assessments or Statements.

Air Quality

- The Air Quality section within the application is significantly light on evidence to back up their estimated PM10 and PM2.5 figures. There are also missing figures around the Annual Cumulative effect of PM2.5 the site has in comparison to the NES Maximum. In fact, the expert report provided states that the fractions of either PM10 or PM2.4 are not available.
- The application provides no literature or evidence around the impacts of particulate PM10 and PM2.5. It also ceases to recognise that this type of industry will be contributing to these health impacts.
- The introduction of these air quality contaminants into an environment and increase existing levels does not provide an example of betterment and instead increases existing levels, and places undue risk and health concerns on an entire population but especially those vulnerable. Including those who are elderly, suffer from pneumonia or other respiratory ailments, and also children.
- This site is **surrounded** (less than 500m from north eastern, eastern, southern, and western boundaries) by facilities that are attended by children. This includes (but not limited to):
 - The Barnyard Early Childhood Centre Racecourse Road
 - Puawai Te Kohunga Reo Factory Road
 - Te Wharekura o Nga Purapura o te Aroha Factory Road
 - o Te Wananga o Aotearoa Puna Factory Road
 - Te Awamutu College Factory Road
- The incinerator will produce dioxins that are cancer-causing even in extremely low levels. These
 will be emitted into the air, and will settle on the land and in the water. There is no safe level of
 dioxins, and these "bio-accumulate" meaning that over time they build up in human fat tissue
 and in animals.

This application does not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources. The applicant also has zero regard for the community in which the proposed facility would operate within, especially requesting that this application be non-notified at lodgement. The facility would create waste



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

streams that would not exist in this area without this facility being developed, and inexplicitly contradict the strategic direction of our district. There is a lack of regard to human health implications, and mitigations to reduce any impacts on the environment, or the wider community. There is a significant lack of social, economic, or cultural well-being considered in this application and proposed facility. It makes a mockery of safeguarding the life supporting capacity of air, water, soil and eco-systems. This proposal should be declined in its entirety.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

<u>Decline the application to develop a Waste to Energy Plant within the Township of Te Awamutu/District</u> of Waipā District.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my subn

\checkmark	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
0-	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we w	rill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

JC my

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12/10/2023 Contact person: Terence Garth Phillips

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 1/1130 Bank Street Te Awamutu 3800

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission relates to the whole application.

My submission is:				
Support parts or all of include—		Oppose parts or all of	are neutral parts or all of	
The rec	asons t	for your views		

Ko Kakepuku te Maunga Ko Waipa te Awa Ko Tainui te Waka Ko Te Kopua tōku Marae Ko Awhina Paul tōku ingoa

Tēnā koe, my name is Awhina Paul, I have tribal links to Ngāti Maniapoto, my local marae is Te Kopua, as Tangata Whenua I have a deep connection to the natural world. It is the responsibility of our people and all people to care for and nurture our whenua, not destroy and poison it. The whenua is part of us, and we are part of it.

My submission relates to the whole application. I oppose the application. I want Waipa District Council to decline this application LU/0323/21.

I am deeply against the proposed building of an incinerator in our community. I am also deeply against an incinerator anywhere within Aotearoa NZ.

I am against the building of an incinerator for the following reasons:

Incinerators produce toxins (cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogenoxide, mercury and particulate matter), these toxins can impact negatively on human health, animal health, our food chain and the environment. I do not want to breathe in toxins, I do not want my family to breathe in toxins and I do not want anybody in my community to breathe in toxins. Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, increases in risks of fertility issues, miscarriages, and pre-term delivery of babies. Exposure to particulate matter / fly ash and nanoparticles will impact those with respiratory problems such as asthma (which my family members have), cardiovascular issues, the elderly, and children.

There is work that has been completed by a health geographer and demographer from Waikato University, she has provided evidence to support that this application to build an incinerator in Te Awamutu is a clear example of



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

Form 13

environmental racism and spacial inequity, more than half of Māori within the Waipa district reside within 10km of the proposed site, 4 in 10 elderly reside in the area, also over one third of children in the Waipa aged 15 years and younger. Over 90% of Waipa residents who live in neighbourhoods with high levels of socio-economic deprivation also live within 10kms of the proposed incinerator site.

I have a right to grow fresh, clean, vegetables to feed my family, I cannot feed my family vegetables with dioxides and nano particles if I care about their health. The ability to grow your own food is a human right. Scientific studies of people living in areas surrounding incinerators have shown that toxins are stored in fat cells transferred through the food chain, these toxins can kill and also pass on to unborn children.

I am going to have mokopuna (grandchildren) one day, I want those mokopuna to be born safe and healthy, without any potential toxins or ill effects from an incinerator. One of the most important things for Māori is the connection and continuation of whakapapa. I have children who could be harmed. They are my future, my family's future.

Burning waste does not improve the waste problem, actual changes in behaviour with the way we create and deal with waste need to addressed.

The toxic ash created will need to go to landfill, there will be a large amount of fly ash and bottom ash created that will need to be disposed of. If it cannot be put into land fill in Te Awamutu it will impact badly on another town or city. Toxic ash is dangerous for anyone that comes in to contact with it. I do not want toxic ash to be disposed of in Te Awamutu or any other town in Aotearoa NZ.

The flow on effect from the incinerator could impact on a lot of people, the awa (rivers) here join larger awa, these larger awa are a source for drinking water for large cities. The wind will blow the toxins much further than just Te Awamutu, the Waikato is a large agricultural area, dioxins in the food chain, especially dairy will impact on many people in Aotearoa NZ. The dairy industry in Waikato is estimated to have more than 9000 people employed in dairy, it is the largest employer among the region's primary industries. It constitutes more than 60% of regional export earnings, and more than 20% of the entire exports in Aotearoa NZ. Also, farmers who grow meat need to be considered, their animals would be impacted if this proposed incinerator were to go ahead. There are other food producers within close proximity who will be affected, Manuka Health and Fonterra. I am not sure that export customers would be interested in buying products that have been contaminated by toxins.

It seems that there has been absolutely no care for people in the application process to build an incinerator. There has been no human health assessment, no cultural impact assessment, no socio-economic impact assessment, initially no consultation with the community and minimal consultation with iwi/hapu. This is merely a money-making venture at the cost of human well-being and potentially lives. I do not put any trust in an organisation that does not consider people at all.

Aotearoa NZ does not have enough in the way of established laws/monitoring agencies to ensure that a project such as an incinerator would be operated more safely. The applicant has never had experience in operating an incinerator.

I am part of Taiea te Taiao a project established to promote an ecological corridor between Pirongia and Maungatautari maunga. The proposed incinerator plans to discharge wastewater into the Mangapiko Stream, which does not line up with the values of Taiea te Taiao. I live next to the Mangapiko Stream and participate in the riparian planting and conservation of this awa, the incinerator facility negates all Taiea te Taiao aims to achieve. One of the emissions from burning tyres/ tyre derived fuel is zincoxide which has not been modelled and which is highly toxic to aquatic life. The proposed building is also on a flood plain, this puts our awa and whenua at increased risks of pollution.

Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

Children are our future. An incinerator facility should not be near children. Approximately 1800 children / youth attend the following schools that are less than 1.1km from the proposed site:

- Te Awamutu College (1.1km from proposed site)
- Te Wharekura o Nga Purapura o te Aroha (650m from proposed site)
- Te Wananga o Aotearoa Puna (Creche) (800m from proposed site)
- Puawai Kohanga Reo (950m from proposed site)
- The Barnyard Daycare (150m from proposed site)

The addition of an incinerator to Te Awamutu would make our town less attractive to potential home buyers, less attractive to tourists (tourists want a clean green experience) and it would potentially de value our house prices.

In their Regional Policy Statement, The Waikato Regional Council has a Regional Policy Statement which identifies the need to reduce adverse effects on air quality caused by cumulative, diffuse, broad scale or multiple discharges from home heating appliances and transport.1 This proposed incinerator will make the cumulative effects identified above pale in comparison to the 24/7 burning of all sorts of municipal and industrial waste; continual stack emissions; toxic bottom ash that has to be shipped away and stored in new containment landfill to prevent leaching; and fly ash which will cause ongoing severe health and environmental risks. It also is in direct conflict with the Waipa District Plan and Growth Strategy for the local community.

The proposed site at 401 Racecourse Road is totally unsuitable for a large-scale waste incinerator. The area is currently designated as "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" the land use needs to align with Fonterra activities. This area is not identified for industrial development in the Waipa District Council - District Plan.

Central Government

Under Aotearoa New Zealand air quality standards, it is illegal to burn even one tyre because of the detrimental toxic effects to health and environment, yet this project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes per year! Burning tyres emits a raft of poisonous gases (e.g., cyanide, carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide) and will also fill our community with an unbelievable stench, which automatically contravenes the Resource Management Act.2

The proposed incinerator directly negates all four basic principles identified by the Ministry for the Environment (2020)3 regarding Waste to Energy propositions / consideration. These four principles are:

- 1. The proposal should support the goal of moving New Zealand steadily up the waste hierarchy towards a more circular economy approach to managing resources.
- 2. The environmental impacts must be well managed, especially the greenhouse gas emissions.
- 3. The proposal must be commercially viable over the long term.
- 4. There should be a strong level of support from the community and Treaty partners.

Zero Emissions & Circular Economy

Aotearoa has committed to reaching net zero emissions of long-lived greenhouse gases by 2050.4 Similarly, Aotearoa has been developing processes to improve carbon emissions and move towards a circular economy.

¹ https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/environment/air/discharges-and-pollutants/

²https://www.legislation.govt.nz/regulation/public/2004/0309/latest/DLM286892.html?search=qs_act%40bill%40regulation %40deemedreg_air+pollution%5c_resel_25_h&p=1

³ https://environment.govt.nz/assets/Publications/Files/waste-to-energy-guide-for-new-zealand.pdf

⁴https://www.climatecommission.govt.nz/our-work/advice-to-government-topic/inaia-tonu-nei-a-low-emissions-future-for-aotearoa/; see Report at <a href="https://www.climatecommission.govt.nz/public/lnaia-tonu-nei-a-low-emissions-future-for-Aotearoa/Inaia-tonu-nei-a-low-emissions-future-for-Aotearoa/Inaia-tonu-nei-a-low-emissions-future-for-Aotearoa.pdf



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

Improving waste management systems and moving towards less waste are strategies that Waipa District Council, other councils and the Waikato Regional Council have dedicated a lot of time and resource to. The proposed building of an incinerator does not align with improving carbon emissions, improving waste systems and utilising circular economy.

I believe a better alternative for our community is a Zero Waste Centre, Raglan is an excellent example of how well we could manage our waste and also change the culture within our community on reducing, reusing and recycling.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

I seek that Waipa District Council make the following decision of declining this application.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

		☑ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
		(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
		I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
		(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
		☑ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You	must	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and	we wi	Il <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
		$oxedsymbol{arphi}$ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
		(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: Awhina Paul

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 12/10/2023 Contact person: Awhina Paul

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 200A Daphne Street, Te Awamutu, 3800.

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu					
I am/am r	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.					
I am/an not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.						
The speci	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:					
	The entriety of the Paewira waste to energy incinerator proposal					
My subm	ission is:					
Support princlude—	the reasons for your views.					
There ar	no justification for polluting air, water and soil with a risky, untested fossil fuelled incinerator. The other, zero waste options which should be investigated first. I do not believe that GCS will be nanage or mitigate for hazardous risk and the pollution in a climate crisis is unwarranted.					
	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions					
T	o oppose this proposal in it's entirety					
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.					
ΠX	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)					
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)					
□X	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.					
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard will not advise you of the date of the hearing.					
¬X	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)					

Document Set ID: 11114783 Version: 1, Version Date: 13/10/2023

Signature of submitter:	кріке	
(or person authorised to sign on beh	alf of submitter) (A signature is not required	if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: <u>13 Oct 2</u> 3	Contact person:	Kelli Pike
Postal address: 78 V	whatawhata rd, dir	sdale

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:
APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: To the whole application
My submission is: Oppose parts or all of include— the reasons for your views.
The plant will be burning tyres and general rubbish from out side of our town giveing off a terrible smel and toxic fumes into the air we all breath, large earth works will pollute the river on site and will fill the air with dust. Heavy traffic will damage our residential streets and the extra loud noise and shaking of our homes by all these extra 58 Tonne trucks. This planned plant is in the middle of a residential area, with a early learning child care centre on Race course road, and a number of other schools and early learning centres close by. There is no report to show the air pollution will be monitored continually and made available to the public both before and after the plant would be operating,
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought To decline the application
I wish to be heard in support of my submission.

43

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission

(this means that you will speak at the hearing



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
_	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on l	pehalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if y	 vou make your submission	n by electronic means.
Date:	Contact person:(name and designation, if applicant)	Linda	paton
Postal address:	under castion 252 of the Act).		

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu I em/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission relates to the whole application My submission is: are neutral parts or all of Oppose parts or all of Support parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) M If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to

be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

- Deprose this application becomes Te Awamuty fire birgede could not cope with the fire at the small recycling centre or Paterangi. Rd 16/01/2020. Units had to be called in from surrounding areas including Hermitten, would our fire biropde be able to cope with a fire at a much larger facility? As the Incinerator in Miami (USH) took 3 weeks to put out
- Des someone who has worked with bees I feel there is not enough information on the effects the envirosons from the Incinerator might have on the bees in an town and the surrounding areas. Plus the plants the bees require for Poller meeter and propolis.
- (3) This area is a food production area there is are no guarantees these businesses, curainals and land what compensation what be negatively affected. And what compensation will be put in place for fural and town businesses will be put in place for fural and town businesses and people ultimately affected by the incinerator emmissions?

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 1210.23 Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act).

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu I am/am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. lam/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission thatadversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission is: Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of Support parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing. I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991) The above Stakment from me regarding
The lack of Studies done to assess safety to
human leath alone, should put a stop to
Jocument Settler 1869390 to this operation.

Jersion: 1, Version Date: 09/10/2023 commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

lack of hearth impact assessments indicates pokential for severe risk to human hearth, a flow on effect to Hearth authorities and ultimately the rax page? Definately assained this.

The proposal doesn't even comply with district plan use relatives to earthworks, noise, amore other things, why is it even being considered?

Document Set ID: 11109330 Councillors not even involved in final version: 1, Version Definitions is a scent concerning!



Signature of submitter: G-1- / W/d 1 &

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

arynneth Anne purche

Date: 6-10.23

Contact person: ____

(name and designation, if applicant)

page

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic and applications must be a signature of the signature

Document Set ID: 11109330 Version: 1, Version Date: 09/10/2023



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

216	10	-	CII	hm	ICC	Inn	on:
 113	13	а	Su		133		VIII.

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
All of sugmission
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of nclude— I
See attached page

seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of arconditions sought
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission I do wish to be heard in support my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider procenting a joint case with them at the hearing

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991

We live in Te Awamutu, a town known as the Rosetown.
I applaud the Rose Society beautifying the town.
I live on a farm property on the boundary of Te Awamutu known as Rosehill Farm breeding thoroughbred racehorses. Waipa is known as 'the home of Champions'.
Over the last fifty years we have planted trees and hedges for shade and shelter for the stock and the environment.

The Incinerator will destroy the town, nobody will want to live in Te Awamutu. Houses and property balues will fall and the Rosetown will become the TA TOXIC TOWN.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic

Date: /2 04 23

Contact

Elizabeth Pollard

person:

(name and designation, if applicant,

Postal

address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Form 15

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited	
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu	
I would be a second the first and a second fill be a seco	004
I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1	991.
I am/aim not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—	
(a) adversely affects the environment; and	
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:	
The paties and in the	-
The entire application	

My submission is:	
\	
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of	
include—	
the reasons for your views.	
As Integrative Health practitioners we oppose the incinerator application du	P
to the potential catastrophic health effects to the local population of Te	Anico
and in some potential cards to a second consideration of the	Tr VCa I
and he surrounding greas her are educationally reside that and read	horace
and the Surrounding areas there are educational, residential and relatives in the close vicinity of the incinerator of the toxic by-products are I seek the following decision from the consent authority:	1101201
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:	
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condi	tions
sought	
To decline the entire application	
10 debite the entire appropriate	
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.	
1 wash for do not wish, to be near a in support of my submission.	
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission	
(this means that you will speak at the hearing)	×
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission	
(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)	
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing	5.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be he	ard
and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.	alu
and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.	

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

D

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person: Savan

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 901 Pakura St, Te Amamun.

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

o the whole application			

My submission is:

Oppose parts or all of

include-

the reasons for your views.

The plant will be burning tyres and general rubbish from outside of our town giving off a terrible smell and toxic fumes into the air we all breathe, earth works will pollute the river on site and will fill the air with dust. Heavy traffic will damage our residential streets and the extra loud noise and shaking of our homes by all these extra 50/58 Tonne trucks, these trucks will be filling the air (above Barnyard Child Care Centre) with Diesel fumes. This planned plant is in the middle of a residential area, with an early learning childcare centre on Racecourse Road, and several other schools and early learning centres close by. The storage of the after product Toxic Ash, how is this going to be transported and to where again past the childcare centre. As a retired truck driver I know that spillage can accrue when loading these trucks the ash can then be driven over by the trucks and be transported off sight onto our streets where our children walk to and from the schools every day also from the bins on these trucks when driving this means that the ash will spill onto our roads and into our water tables and carried by the wind into our homes where we breath it and onto our vegetable gardens from which we eat our vegetables These fumes and dust must affect the immune compromised residents in our town.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought.

To decline the application

Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I wish to	be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission. (This means that you will speak at the hearing
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard vill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Pobert paton

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

To the whole application			

My submission is:

Oppose parts or all of

include-

the reasons for your views.

The amount of diesel to be stored on site does not match up with the amount of diesel required for a cold start of the plant. the amount of diesel being stored on site is in a flood prone area. The heavy trucks carrying the rubbish, how are the bulk bins on these trucks going to be made watertight so that no liquid can seep out onto our roads. As a truck driver I have carted rubbish and waste therefor I am aware of the amount of liquid seepage that leaks out over the course of a trip especially if the plant has a breakdown and the trucks are queued up waiting to unload. They would be queued up along racecourse road which is a narrow residential road directly above a childcare centre. This planed plant is in the middle of a residential area with several schools and childcare centres nearby. The water used for cooling the plant will be returned to our river which will in turn pollute our river. The plant will be operating 24 hours a day. Just the noise of trucks starting/stopping and slowing down including brakes, Jake brakes and retarders will affect the quality of life, mental health and general wellbeing of all residents especially those living on ohaupo road and racecourse road. due to the amount of noise created by these trucks' residents sleep will be disrupted causing a lack of concentration in the workplace, this could cause accidents especially where machinery is being used. We are a volunteer fire station; we do not have the resources to fight a fire this size and save our town.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought.

To decline the application



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

I wish to	be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission. (This means that you will speak at the hearing
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitte (or person authorised to sign		equired if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date:	Contact person:(name and designation, if applica	michael paton
Postal address:	vice under section 352 of the Act):	

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:
APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission relates to the entire application LU/0323/21.
My submission is:
Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include— • the reasons for your views.
I oppose the entire construction of a waste to energy facility in Te Awamutu. The focus should be on waste minimisation not waste incineration. The Power output is negligible in the scheme of things, when compared to greener alternatives. The roading in the immediate area is not suitable for this traffic, particularly with the child care centre across the road. I will seek to move away from Te Awamutu if the plant is to proceed.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought Please kindly decline the application and let the applicant know their plant is not welcome in Te Awamutu, or anywhere in Aotearoa.
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not advise you of the date of the hearing</u> .

✓ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

Signature of submitte (or person authorised to sign	r:on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date:	Contact person: Georgina Provor (name and designation, if applicant)
Postal address:	vice under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

	NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited 11 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu			
lam/am-not*a	a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.			
(a) adverse	rectly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—ely affects the environment; and ot relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.			
	rts of the application that my submission relates to are:			
Th	e entire application			
My submission	is:			
include—	all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of			
	the reasons for your views.			
road Ira	the increase, pert to day core, borses and bours			
	wing decision from the consent authority: , including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions			
To	decline the entire application			
wish (or do no	t wish) to be heard in support of my submission.			
V-	wish to be heard in support of my submission neans that you will speak at the hearing)			
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)			
™ If oth	ers make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.			
	ne of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard advise you of the date of the hearing.			
🔼 I hav	e served a copy of my submission on the applicant.			

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	Ruth Webb			
(or person authorised to sign on b	ehalf of submitter) (A signature is not required i	if you make your	submission by ele	ctronic means.)
Date: 12/10/23	Contact person: Rettr	Wash	Kuth	webb
	(name and designation, if applicant)			
Postal address: do	73/1 Sainsbury Rd1	RPG, Te	Awari	tu 3876

Notes to submitter

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

