SURNAME S – PART 1	PAGE NUMBER
Samuel, Harata	2
Sander, Simon	31
Searle, Helen	23
Shaw, Blair	44
Shaw, Helen	40
Shaw, Jesse	46
Shaw, Rosie	42
Shelgren, Jay	53
Shelgren, Jessica	48
Shirley, Kurt	5
Shortt, Maree	21
Siemonek, Breana	15
Simons, Jane	14
Sinclair, Jane	29
Sinclair, Robert	70
Smale, Mark	17
Smart, Craig	10
Smith, Brian	27
Smith, Bruce	25
Smith, Laura	80
Smith, Nadia-Marina	12
Snowden, Susan	60
Steel, Leanne	19
Steele, Elsie	74
Stevenson, Darren	58
Stevenson, Tracy	76
Still, James	78
Stockmann, Karen	36
Stockmann, Regan	33
Stokes, Reg and Yvonne	64
Stott, Bruce	66
Stott, Tracy	68
Stretton, Joan	72

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- adversely affects the environment; and (a)
- does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b)

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission related to the whole application.

Mγ	su	bm	ISS	ion	IS:

Support parts or all of		Oppose parts or all of	٧	are neutral parts or all of	
include—					
• the rec	isons f	or vour views			

I oppose all of this application. I have worked in this community for the past 15 years and moved to Te Awamutu in 2021 from Hamilton. We sold our property in Hamilton to relocate to Te Awamutu as the prospect of living in a smaller community with a strong focus on environmental sustainability, was appealing. The work of organisations such as the Puniu River Care that supports environmental restoration has been inspiring. As a result we now refer to ourselves as proud locals of this community. The property that we pride ourselves on, love and cherish, is located within a 1.5km radius of the proposed location site which directly impacts the wellbeing of my family. I also work within a 500m radius of the proposed site and my child attends daycare within a 500m radius. The thought of my child playing outdoors and exposed to toxic emissions from a municipal solid waste incinerator is not the environment that I wish to raise my child in and would therefore prefer to consider relocation options outside of Te Awamutu.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

I want the Waipā District Council to decline this application for the following reasons:

- 1. At present, Aotearoa has no municipal solid waste (MSW) incinerators. The incinerators that were in operation around 2000 have all closed. Many of them were a significant source of dioxin contamination. Te Awamutu should not be the testing ground for this technology.
- 2. The application does not contain a formal Cultural Impact Assessment.
- 3. Fully informed consent from Iwi and Hapū must be part of this proposal including clear disclosure of human health and environmental impacts.
- 4. Taiea te Taiao was created to promote an ecological corridor to link Maungatautari and Pirongia te aroaro o Kahu. This corridor will reconnect the maunga with biodiversity plantings which will



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- enhance native species present, transform iwi connections to the Mangapiko stream, and ultimately improve water quality. An incinerator will undermine these efforts.
- 5. There is no assessment of land contamination included in the application. International research shows that the land surrounding incinerators can be extensively contaminated with heavy metals, microplastics and other toxic emissions including dioxin.
- 6. There is no human health assessment of this proposal.
- 7. The odour and dust have not been adequately assessed. There is no indication of how often the start up, maintenance and monitoring will be done and levels exceeded.
- 8. There will be significant additional traffic in a residential area, adding to air pollution and impacting those who are old, very young and immunocompromised. It will change the nature of the community from a quiet residential street to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks all hours of the day and night.

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

- I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
- V I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
- If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

V I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: ______ (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/2023 Contact person: Harata Samuel (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: ______ (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



ensitivity: General

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

lam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- adversely affects the environment; and (a)
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of	the ap	oplication that my subr	nission	relates to are:	
My submission relate	s to th	ne whole application.			
My submission is:			/		
Support parts or all of include—		Oppose parts or all of		are neutral parts or all of	

- the reasons for your views.
 - This project has never been done in New Zealand or approved by any other council. Does Waipa want to be the first to have this in their district. The level of district and anger this is building is already evident. How will this be a good thing for the council moving forward?
 - At present Aotearoa New Zealand has no municipal solid waste (MSW) incinerators. The incinerators that were in operation around 2000 have all closed. Many of them were a significant source of dioxin contamination
 - It is not appropriate to have such a project in the middle of a residential area, regardless if the land it is being built on is designated as industrial
 - A similar, larger, proposal for a waste-to-energy incinerator in Waimate, South Canterbury has been "called in" by the Minister for the Environment in part because this is new technology with national implications.
 - Te Awamutu does not want to be a testing ground for this technology
 - Global Contracting Solutions does not have any experience of operation in waste incineration. It is a scrap metal business. The company does, however, have a track record of violating resource consent conditions in their Hamilton operations.
 - The application contains no formal Cultural Impact Assessment
 - Fully informed consent from Iwi and Hapū must be part of this proposal including clear disclosure of human health and environmental impacts
 - Taiea te Taiao was created to promote an ecological corridor to link Maungatautari and Pirongia te aroaro o Kahu. This corridor will reconnect these maunga/mountains with biodiversity plantings which will enhance native species present, transform iwi connections to the Mangapiko stream, and ultimately improve water quality. An incinerator will undermine these efforts. C. Air, Water and Land Pollution & Emissions



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- The incinerator will produce dioxins that are cancer-causing even in extremely low levels. These will be emitted into the air, and will settle on the land and in the water. There is no safe level of dioxins, and these "bio-accumulate" meaning that over time they build up in human fat tissue and in animals.
- There is no assessment of land contamination included in the application. International research shows that the land surrounding incinerators can be extensively contaminated with heavy metals, microplastics and other toxic emissions including dioxin.
- Stormwater from the site will be discharged into the Mangapiko Stream. This water is likely to be contaminated with heavy metals and dioxin. Filtration systems and settlement ponds do not eliminate all of the toxic products meaning these will make their way into the waterways
- One of the emissions from burning tyres/tyre derived fuel is zinc oxide which has not been modelled and which is highly toxic to aquatic life.
- The huge earthworks over several years will impact the health and wellbeing of the Mangapiko River D. Inappropriate land use
 The site is totally unsuitable for a large scale waste incinerator. The current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation means that the land use is intended to ensure that any activity there was aligned with Fonterra's activities.
- It is not appropriate to have an incinerator burning millions of tyres next to a milk production facility
- This area is not identified as an area for industrial development in the District Plan. Two areas are identified for industrial growth: at Bond Road and Paterangi Road.
- The location of a heavy industrial operation immediately next to existing and planned residential housing, schools and food businesses, and operating 24-hrs/day, 7day/week is not appropriate and conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community.
- The very large size of the building and stacks does not fit in with the area. It will dominate, have a significant impact on the landscape and turn the entire area into the feel of an industrial zone.
- Entire site is a floodplain most of the site is designated a High Risk Flood Zone
- The river has been straightened and narrowed over time to enable development, this is now considered one of the major causes of flooding. Allowing rivers the ability to spread to accommodate severe rainfall events in future protects infrastructure, business and housing from inundation.
- The new incinerator buildings would increase flooding spread to the Fonterra factory and houses on Factory Road, numbers 331-467
- The company wants to build its building lower than existing requirements (because it will cost them a lot more money to build to the required levels). This will mean even greater risk to the community.
- Insurance companies are warning New Zealanders not to build on floodplains due to climate change. The incinerator may become uninsurable, and the community left with the clean up bill.
- The incinerator will use non-renewable feedstock (plastic waste, tyres, mixed solid waste & flock) to create energy: this is equivalent to a fossil fuel production plant, but much dirtier and riskier because of the different composition of the materials.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- The addition of non-renewable energy from waste works against efforts to decarbonise the energy sector.
- The incinerator will be a massive contributor to climate change. It will directly add about 150 kilo tons per year of CO2.
- The facility would have a carbon footprint many times greater than the same amount of waste being sent to landfill
- The incinerator would produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash which has to be landfilled. Incinerator ash contains heavy metals, microplastics and dioxins.
- The storage of highly contaminated wastewater and other hazardous substances on site risks spills and wider contamination.
- There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. The community only has a volunteer fire brigade. H. Feedstock, Waipā waste minimisation, zero waste alternatives:
- Te Awamutu will need to import almost all of the material for this facility from outside of the district. This is not a proposal for the benefit of the community.
- The company has no contracts for the delivery of the feedstock except from its own operations (as Global Metal Solutions). This means it is impossible to know what hazards, risks and emissions exist because only a small percentage of the feedstock is known. It also means that the company is more likely to burn recyclable materials and other things because it must always continue to operate. It will directly work against efforts to minimise waste.
- The inclusion of 35,058 tonnes of plastic (as well as a considerable portion of MSW that includes plastic) does not align with the recently released National Plastics Action Plan for Aotearoa New Zealand by the Ministry for the Environment
- Incineration does not replace the need for landfills instead it takes ordinary materials and concentrates them into more toxic ash.
- The Waipā District Council has a great waste minimisation plan and opportunities for more comprehensive zero waste strategies that would fit with the goals of minimise wastes, while meeting community aspirations for a healthy environment, job creation and mitigation of climate emissions.
- The Waipa District Council has set targets for carbon minimisation, I have seen the presentations. There is no way a project like this has been taken into account. If it goes ahead, the councils targets go with it
- Investing hundreds of millions of dollars into an incinerator locks in the need for continued production of waste, meaning the community misses out on other waste uses further up the waste hierarchy (like reuse, repair and repurposing).
- There is no human health assessment of this proposal
- The incineration plant is a hazardous facility with serious risks of harm to human health. The plant will emit cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter will be released into the air.
- Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkins lymphoma, increases in risks of miscarriages and pre-term delivery of babies. There are links to reduced male fertility. Exposure to particulate matter impacts those with respiratory problems such as asthma, cardiovascular issues, the elderly and children.
- In the Netherlands, research conducted indicated that the high dioxin output from waste incinerators could be responsible for contamination of cow's milk and meat. As a result, the production and sales of dairy products from was prohibited for several years.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

- In 2016, human-made (anthropogenic) air pollution in New Zealand resulted in an estimated 3,317 premature deaths (in people aged 30+ years). The largest causes were NO2 and PM2.5. There were 32 premature deaths due to air pollution (PM2.5 and NO2) in Waipā District (among people aged 30+ years) in 2016. The incinerator will significantly add to these pollutants, and therefore, contribute to the premature death of Waipā residents.
- Under NZ's air quality standards, it is illegal to burn even one tyre because the health and environmental effects are so toxic yet, this project is proposing to burn 35,000 tonnes a year. Burning tyres emits cyanide, carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and products of butadiene and styrene. And the smell of those tyres burning will fill the community with an unbelievable stench.
- The odour and dust have not been adequately assessed. There is no indication of how often the start up/maintenance will be done and levels exceeded.
- There will be significant additional traffic in a residential area, adding to air pollution and impacting those who are old, very young and immunocompromised. It will change the nature of the community from a quiet residential street to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks at all hours of the day and night.

	at all hours of the day and night.
	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
l oppose :	this application. I want the Waipā District Council to decline this application
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must	t tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

BAliny

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: __13/10/2023____ Contact person: Kurt Shirley_____(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 35a Mangauika Rd, Pirongia _ (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Document Set ID: 11120322

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

Completely disagree with the various assessments overall conclusion that effects are minor;

My submission is:		1		
Support parts or all of	Oppose parts of all of		are neutral parts or all of	☐ include—
	for your views.			

This application seeks to locate a facility burning old tyres and plastic (combined 40%) and municipal rubbish (another 40%) within the Te Awamutu urban area. Despite the company name, the applicant appears to have no technical track record or business experience commensurate with the endeavour being proposed. Furthermore the proposal is:

- · not best use of this site
- on an inappropriate site for the operation
- of limited benefit to people of Te Awamutu
- despite what the documents say I anticipate issues with emissions gases, particulates, noise and odour, as well as traffic, visual amenity and employment.

Have reservations about invoking iwi connection and despite claims to the contrary the various "green" justifications contained in the application.

Also object to the applicants thinking that incorporation of Education/Exhibition/Museum spaces in the proposal and promoting some minor planting on a short length of the Mangapiko Stream banks as being sufficient to improve the condition of this stream and justify the overall application.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

Decline all consent applications (Waipa – land use, NES – air quality, Regional - discharge stormwater)

I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.

1	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. Note to WDC recipient - please confirm whether this kneeds to go to: chris.dillon@terragroup.co.nz, or whether WDC will

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

I request/do not request*, pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that you delegate your functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Postal address: __craig.smart2@outlook.com_

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application

Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	IAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited 1 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
LOCATION. 40	1 Nacecourse Noad, Te Awarnata
l am not* a	trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not di	rectly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(a) adverse	ly affects the environment; and
(b) does no	t relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	rts of the application that my submission relates to are:
Thy sub	mission relates to the whole application.
My submission	le.
iviy subillission	
Support parts or include—	all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
	the reasons for your views.
lame th	is addication leasent the Wai or Dight Council to decline
this and c	cation The local except will and use dispins that are an expension
100 5 000	all to a large The will be completed into the air and will so the
e land and	is application. Livent the heigh District Council to decline cation. The Inginerator will produce dioxins that are concreousing ley loss levels. There will be amitted into the gir and will settle or in the water. There is no safe level of dioxins, and these bio-accumulating decision from the consent authority: I build up in human of animal extensions.
I seek the follo	wing decision from the consent authority: Louid up in human & animal
give precise detail	s, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought	Decline Application.
	Jacob Marie
I wish (or do n	ot wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	wish to be heard in support of my submission
(this	means that you will speak at the hearing)
	not wish to be heard in support of my submission
(this	means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
☑ If o	thers make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick	one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
	at advise you of the date of the hearing.
A 16	ave served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
	s is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: B(10/23

Contact person: Nadia S

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 11 Ballance Street, Kinikini

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act).

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.



13

From:

Sent: Fri, 13 Oct 2023 11:17:57 +1300

To:

"Submissions" <submissions@waipadc.govt.nz>

Cc:

"richard.falconer@terragroup.co.nz" < richard.falconer@terragroup.co.nz >

Subject:

External Sender: LU/0323/21

CYBER SECURITY WARNING: This email is from an external source - be careful of attachments and links. Please follow the Cybersecurity Policy and report suspicious emails to Servicedesk

Jane Simons 3/65 Queen Street Pukekohe



My submission relates to the whole application.

I oppose this application.

I want the Waipa District Council to decline this application.

I would like to be heard in support of my submission.

The possible contamination of unprocessed materials and also the waste which would be dumped, we have a stream here that runs through the town, which dioxins could flow into and contaminate.

The proposed site has residents living very close, plus a school.

We are not to be guinea pigs for this incinerator. I want to start a health business down here and generally with the ill feeling around this town about the incinerator, I am seeing no future for Te Awamutu, if this was to go ahead.

Document Set ID: 11114650 Version: 1, Version Date: 13/10/2023



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT	T'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION	: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am no	a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(am/am no	ot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
	ersely affects the environment; and
(b) doe	s not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
that i	t adversery affects the environment the health of the munity.
¥	
My submis	sion is:
Support par	rts or all of D Oppose parts or all of D are neutral parts or all of D
•	the reasons for your views.
.10.	
impect solution	stakement or health vill assessment, the company liber metal is his rever built or preded a honeraby, the agricultured or as I vulne contribute to climate change, of the location is outsidear why world it is following decision from the consent authority:
emusions	confibre to climbe those, to the location of a town with all the to
give precise a	following decision from the consent authority: In the Middle of 2 form with all the top
sought Dec	live application
	The Copper materials of the control
I wish (or d	lo not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
卤	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	cick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard I not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
~	(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature	of submitter:	BL	l
rigilature	of Submitter.	110	

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person: Breand Siemorek (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 343 Page (Vescent, Te Awamata, 3800) (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

11113 13 4 3	
	T'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited 1: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
lam/am n	ot* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ad	ot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—versely affects the environment; and es not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The speci	ic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My submi Support p include—	arts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of the reasons for your views.
give precise sought	following decision from the consent authority: details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions The application by Global Controller Sulvivor The American Sulviv
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
Q/	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard If not advise you of the date of the hearing.
Ц	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Mark Christopher Smale

Date: 10 -2 0 2 Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 10 Box 55 Killichi 3441

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I-am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/amat directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
All of le application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include— • the reasons for your views.
If is unheathy untested, contitutes to increased corbon emissors to company poposing to run it has continued tell previous consent conditions. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: I give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I oppose this application. I what the warpa pisture council to become
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	alf of submitter) (A signature i	s not required if you mak	ke your submission by elect	ronic means.)
Date: 13/10/13	Contact person:	learne	Steel	-
Postal address:	G Creem der section 352 of the Act):	stade R	ead, edi	Ragian

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

10 000

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICA	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATIO	N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am ∕am	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) a do	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—diversely affects the environment; and oes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. If the application that my submission relates to are: app. No. LU /0323/21
	the Woipa District Council to Decline this
	andre applicant
My subm	alssion is:
Support include—	parts or all of Oppose parts of all of are neutral parts or all of
•	the reasons for your views.
I ha	we lived and 360 Racacarse Rd for 9 yrs, this allacts (Chandkids) directly as well as surjounding areas with heal
0.50	toxic hooste, property values, tradice, mell from Trucks
I seek the	5 Incinerator. Then the pollution to the stream, Soil, air as following decision from the consent authority:
	e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought	oppose this entire application; and wishit be defined.
i wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
ū	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard vill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
D	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: _	Methow	
(or person authorised to sign on be	chalf of submitter) (A signature is	not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Date: 40/10/23. Contact person: Marel Shortt

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 360 Race Cause Rd, Te Awamuly. 3800.

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
am/am-not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
The whole application
My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of national parts or all of the reasons for your views.
Toxic nano-particles (and smell) released into the air, which filters will not be able to capture. These nano-particles travel a long way in the air and will have a harmful affect on humans, livestock, land, food etc. An abstract taken from the Enclopedia of Environmental Health (2019) - long term low level exposure to pollutants raises ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH concerns targeting communities within the vicinity of these facilities. seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions tought
seek that the application be declined in its entirety.
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
☐ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

		f of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date:	12/10/2023	Contact person: Helen Searle (name and designation, if applicant)
Postal	address: 1237 McClur	re Street RD6 Te Awamutu

Notes to submitter

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission relates to the whole application
My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of the reasons for your views. Personal Health issues, goes against Waipa District plan & waste management, Will devalue propertys within
The area management, Will devalue propertys within
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought No amendants or conditions. Tust total denial of application
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

囡

Signature of submitter: BL South (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 11/10/2023 Contact person: Bruce Smith (name and designation, if applicant)
Postal address: 65 MKenna Place Te Awamutu (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
call the applications
My submission is: Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include— • the reasons for your views.
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
Decline application
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: \3/10/23

Contact person

(name and designation, if applicant

Postal address: __//

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4),

Resource Management Act 1991

This is a s	submission on:
	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am/ am i	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ac	het directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— diversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are: 1G OF RUBBISH
My subm	
Support princlude—	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of the reasons for your views.
PART	NOT BELIEVE THAT BURNING RUBBISH IS IN THE BEST EST OF THE WORLD NZ OR TE AWAMUTU IN CULAR. GREENHOUSE GASES TOXIC GASES AND ENT RISK TO HUMAN LIVES.
give precise sought	details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions DECLINE THE APPLICATION
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)	
Date: 10 10 23 Contact person: JANE SINCLAIR (name and designation, if applicant)	
Postal address: 253 FRONTIER ROAD RD6 TE AWAMUTU 387 (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):	7,6

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited OCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
$am/am\ not *\ a\ trade\ competitor\ for\ the\ purposes\ of\ section\ 308B\ of\ the\ Resource\ Management\ Act\ 1991.$
am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My Submission relates to the whole application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Suppose parts or all of Support parts or all of Suppor
1 oppose this application. I want the WDC to decline this application
seek the following decision from the consent authority: live precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions ought Dec line Application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
☐ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
☐ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/23

Contact person: SIMON JAMES SANDER

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 140 DOUGLAS

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission relates to the whole application.

My s	ubn	าเรรเด	on is

Support parts or all of		Oppose parts or all of		are neutral parts or all of	
include—					
I am complet	aly and	nosed to the constructi	on of	a wasta incinerator in Pag	cocource Poa

I am completely opposed to the construction of a waste incinerator in Racecourse Road.

It is not true that this proposed facility will have no impact on our environment – the mere volume of trucks expected to be using Racecourse Road will have a detrimental impact on the roading not to mention the exhaust fumes that will pollute the air.

There are no incinerators in New Zealand and Te Awamutu is not a testing ground for a company, who has no experience of waste incineration operation and whose shareholders operate other companies who have been in breach of their resource consent requirements.

There have been many health issues that research shows are associated with incineration. The applicant states the plant will burn 456 tons of waste per day creating 23 tons of ash. This is grossly underestimated as the most modern incinerators are reported to produce 10-20% of their daily weight in ash. Incineration will release cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter into the air. Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkins lymphoma, increases in risks of miscarriages and pre-term delivery of babies. There are links to reduced male fertility. Exposure to particulate matter impacts those with respiratory problems such as asthma, cardiovascular issues, the elderly and children. In the Netherlands, research conducted indicated that the high dioxin output from waste incinerators could be responsible for contamination of cow's milk and meat. As a result, the production and sales of dairy products from was prohibited for several years. We are amongst other things, a farming community – this threatens the very core of who we are as a community.

There has been no formal Cultural Impact Assessment included in this resource consent application nor fully informed consent from all the Iwi and Hapū who are mana whenua of areas that will



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

potentially be impacted by this operation. There are significant environmental projects being undertaken in the local area including the reestablishment of native flora and fauna – these projects will be seriously compromised by this facility.

There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. The consequences of an incident at this facility could be catastrophic. In February this year, a fire at a waste incinerator in Doral, Miami Florida burned continuously for three weeks, with smoke and toxic fumes threatening the health of the public. We are not equipped to deal with an incident like this especially as Te Awamutu only has a volunteer fire brigade.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

I oppose this application. I want the Waipā District Council to decline this application.

\square	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission o (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign on	behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/2023 Contact person: Regan Stockmann

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 803 Mahoe Street, Te Awamutu

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited

LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu

I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

My submission relates to the whole application.

My	SII	hm	155	ion	IS:
	-	~			

Support parts or all of	Oppose parts or all of	\checkmark	are neutral parts or all of	
include—				

I have lived in the Te Awamutu area my whole life and in Mahoe St for the past 30 years. I am completely opposed to the construction of a waste incinerator in Racecourse Road.

I am appalled to think that something that will have such a far-reaching and significant impact on the whenua, the people and the identity of the Te Awamutu community is even being considered.

We are not a testing ground for what to date is a new technology being proposed by a company, Global Contracting Solutions, who has no experience of waste incineration operation. At this time there are no municipal solid waste incinerators in Aotearoa and that is a good thing. A similar, larger, proposal for a waste-to-energy incinerator in Waimate, South Canterbury has been "called in" by the Minister for the Environment in part because this is new technology with national implications reinforcing the concerns of our community that this is an ill thought out and poorly researched proposition. Alarmingly, the applicant does have a track record of violating resource consent conditions in their Hamilton operations which coupled with the absence of any human health consideration or environmental impacts makes me very afraid.

The incineration plant is a hazardous facility with serious risks of harm to human health. The plant will emit cancer-causing dioxins and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter will be released into the air. Dioxins damage the human immune system and cause cancer. Studies have shown direct links to non-Hodgkins lymphoma, increases in risks of miscarriages and pre-term delivery of babies. There are links to reduced male fertility. Exposure to particulate matter impacts those with respiratory problems such as asthma, cardiovascular issues, the elderly and children. In the Netherlands, research conducted indicated that the high dioxin output from waste incinerators could be responsible for contamination of cow's milk and meat. As a result, the production and sales of dairy products from was prohibited for several years. We are



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

amongst other things, a farming community – this threatens the very core of who we are as a community.

There has been no formal Cultural Impact Assessment included in this resource consent application nor fully informed consent from all the lwi and Hapū who are mana whenua of areas that will potentially be impacted by this operation. Taiea te Taiao was created to promote an ecological corridor to link Maungatautari and Pirongia te aroaro o Kahu. This corridor will reconnect these maunga/mountains with biodiversity plantings which will enhance native species present, transform iwi connections to the Mangapiko stream, and ultimately improve water quality. An incinerator will significantly undermine these efforts.

The land that is proposed for this project is currently fertile uncontaminated farmland forming a natural floodplain. The site is totally unsuitable for a large-scale waste incinerator. The incinerator would produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash which has to be landfilled. Incinerator ash contains heavy metals, microplastics and dioxins. The storage of highly contaminated wastewater and other hazardous substances on site risks spills and wider contamination. The current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation means that the land use is intended to ensure that any activity there was aligned with Fonterra's activities. This area is not identified as an area for industrial development in the District Plan.

The location of a heavy industrial operation immediately next to existing and planned residential housing, schools and food businesses, and operating 24-hrs/day, 7day/week is not appropriate and conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community.

There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. The consequences of an incident at this facility could be catastrophic. In February this year, a fire at a waste incinerator in Doral, Miami Florida burned for continuously for three weeks, with smoke and toxic fumes threatening the health of the public. We are not equipped to deal with an incident like this especially as Te Awamutu only has a volunteer fire brigade.

I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought

I oppose this application. I want the Waipā District Council to decline this application.

	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission o (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission o (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
\checkmark	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.



Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

☐ I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign on be	half of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/2023 Contact person: Karen Stockmann

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 803 Mahoe Street, Te Awamutu

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: the NHOLE of the application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—
the reasons for your views.
Toxic fly ash emitted into our air and bodies. The bealth effects to humans and animals are extremely determental and could span over generations. Cancer causing toxins and dioxins. It will add 150kilo ton I seek the following decision from the consent authority: per year of (02. give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
loppose this application and I want the Warpa District council to decline it.
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
☐ If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/23 Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 1A NIXON Street, Kihikihi

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a s	ubmission on:
	IT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am/am 1991.	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act
(a) ad	not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—versely affects the environment; and sees not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
include—	arts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of the reasons for your views.
Into Is at Children I seek the give precise sought	our air, waterways land and animals. Dur health of our air, waterways land and animals. Dur health of our east risk and for generations to come. The health of our en are at great risk. This will also have a huge impact following decision from the consent authority: Carbon emissions. Toxic ash details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions or
	cil to DECLINE this application in its entirety.
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
13	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

an

Signature of submitter:	f of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 6/10/23	Contact person: Rosie Shaw (name and designation, if applicant)
Postal address:	Nixon Street Kilikiki

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: the whole of the application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
• the reasons for your views.
the reasons for your views.
Huge risk to our physical health - cancer causing diexin. Toxic ash that has to be landfilled. Definental for our waterways, lond + livestock.
Land contamination. Harmful accumulation of microplashe
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: In Our breathing directly give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
1 oppose this application and I want the
Waipa District Council to decline
this application
l wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Persurse Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 6/10/23 Contact person: Blair Shaw (name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 1 Nixon Street Kibikchi (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.



Waipa DISTRICT COUNCIL

Submission on a Notified Resource Consent Application

Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	T'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l am/am n 1991.	a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act
(a) adv	ot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— versely affects the environment; and es not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	ic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My submi: Support pa include—	erts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of the reasons for your views.
Causir I seek the	nental and could span over generations. concer
Was	pose this application and I want the pa District council to decline it. do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
_	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
	(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill not advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter: (or person authorised to sign on beha	If of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: $6/10/23$	Contact person: Reserve Contac
Postal address:(or alternative method of service unde	er section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on:

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I and am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: (D) DISCHAGE OF LMISSIONS TO ACC (D) DISCHAGE OF WASTE TOWACE (B) FUL LOND INTO A FLUID PLANE
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of the reasons for your views. SIL WHACHEL-
Ou what
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought RELET MORESCAPING CENTRE PUBLICHEAUTH LNYLODNENTAL SOCIOBCONOMIC IMPACTS ESSINTIAL RISK TOO GREAT FOR PROPOSED LOCATION I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)



That such a project is not allowed to be undertaken in this location or within 50km of a population of people and/or farm animals.

There is too much unknown since this would be the first of its kind in New Zealand.

Putting it in the middle of a growing township is unconscionable.

This is a compact rural community already facing an employee shortage.

There are significant public health, environmental, socioeconomic and psychosocial negative impacts this facility will have on the community, the district, and New Zealand Inc -reputationally.

Such a project destroys New Zealand's clean green image and having it in a small agricultural town is not acceptable.



Appendix L of their application section 3.2:

There is no clear estimate from the consulting firm OR the company about particulate matter that will be extruded from the process.

Sulphur dioxide is generated from the combustion of sulphur containing compound in the fuel such as

rubber. The proposed system will absorb much of the SO2, using the dry sorbent injection that reduces

the concentration from about 540 mg Nm-3 to a level of no more than 75 mg Nm-3 (dry gas 6% O2). S02 has a strong odour. We smell it in Te Awamutu when there is a southeasterly from Kinleith in Tokoroa. It also has negative health implications for children and those with respiratory compromise (I myself suffer from asthma!).

Table 3-2 is only an estimate as there is no evidence to support this will actually be what the output is.

This is an unacceptable risk.

It also shows the levels of nitrous oxides emitted as 7kg/hr; 168kg/day.

Lastly there are heavy metals that will be released which when emitted into air then settle on grass, crops, water and soil are consumed by livestock and people. Livestock (all animals) concentrate heavy metals in bone, muscle and milk, most of which is eventually exported. MPI has a robust system to test animal products to ensure purity to exporters. This has the potential to damage trade in a major way. The export of meat and milk are a major source of income for New Zealand and it's "Clean Green" reputation is at stake.

To add to the uncertainty: There is no meteorological data that is readily available for Te Awamutu, and the closest NIWA or Metservice stations are those at Hamilton Airport 15 km to the north, and Waikeria, 10 km to the south which only has data from 2016. However Ag Research in Ruakura has supplied TBC with 2014

to 2016 meteorological data for Tokanui about 8 km to the south. This does not provide confidence of what weather conditions could impact the degree of particulate matter and gases exposed to people and animals.

Section 5.1 addresses particulate matter which is of greatest concern, especially given the project is requesting consent to allow this to be above environmental regulations. People most susceptible to the effects of particles include the elderly; those with existing respiratory disease such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and bronchitis; those with cardiovascular disease; those with infections such as pneumonia; and children. Again the evidence emerging is that the health effects are actually associated with PM2.5 rather than PM10. Regardless, people live in this community! Nearly 20,000 within the immediate airflow area. This cannot be allowed, there is not enough known.

In the GHG emission estimation what is NOT accounted for is the massive increase in trucks (diesel driven) with odor and emissions coming into and out of site/town. This need more work. The roading in and around Te Awamutu is marginal. More trucks will damage it further. Noise pollution (engine breaking and loud trucks) are already too much of an issue in the community. We do not need/want MORE.

On that note, the fuel consumption for this facility is an abhorrent amount of diesel at 8385kg/hr! Those emissions will be released. It is increasing consumption of fossil fuels, not reducing.

Part of the site is on a flood plane requiring significant site excavation and disruption to natural contour and flora and fauna. Should there be more of what New Zealand is experiencing in one in 500 or 1000 year floods, the submission does not address this risk. Downstream contamination is inevitable and the consequences unacceptable. This is not the place to build this facility.

Lastly, science from Europe has shown that there is a higher incidence of cancer and infertility near the waste to energy plants in the UK and Holland. The EU is no longer allowing their construction.

Many countries that use these haul all of the waste from the incinerator to toxic waste landfills as the leachate from the ash contains many toxins. We are only transferring one problem to another. I grew up near Haverhill, Massachusetts where this is a plant like this. There was a mass exodus from the town when it went in and there is a pile of ash no one knows what to do with from it. The big picture has not been well enough scoped, the risks are unknown and the company doing this has failed to convince me and others that they have the knowledge or expertise to do this with the precision required. They have failed to engage the community and have no support from us.

There is not enough waste in Waipa alone to keep it running, Waipa will be importing waste from all over the north island adding to truck traffic and overall emissions in the little town that cannot cope, sacrificing the health and well being of its people, animals and the agricultural businesses that keep the town going.



Reject the proposal. Te Awamutu is not a suitable site for such a project. This needs a lot more scoping on its public health, environmental and socio-economic impacts. The risk to too great for the location proposed.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf f submitter/(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

(name and designation, if applicant)

Lawbrooke Lu, Te Awamutu 3, ider section 352 of the Act):

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter:

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management A 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: Discharge of emmissions to the environment Discharge of waste water Building on a flood plane
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of Include— • the reasons for your views. See Attached
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any condition sought Reject the application of Global Contracting Solution to build a waste incinerator flant in it Awamuta.
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be hear and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)



That such a project is not allowed to be undertaken in this location or within 50km of a population of people and/or farm animals.

There is too much unknown since this would be the first of its kind in New Zealand.

Putting it in the middle of a growing township is unconscionable.

This is a compact rural community already facing an employee shortage.

There are significant public health, environmental, socioeconomic and psychosocial negative impacts this facility will have on the community, the district, and New Zealand Inc -reputationally.

Such a project destroys New Zealand's clean green image and having it in a small agricultural town is not acceptable.



Appendix L of their application section 3.2:

There is no clear estimate from the consulting firm OR the company about particulate matter that will be extruded from the process.

Sulphur dioxide is generated from the combustion of sulphur containing compound in the fuel such as

rubber. The proposed system will absorb much of the SO2, using the dry sorbent injection that reduces

the concentration from about 540 mg Nm-3 to a level of no more than 75 mg Nm-3 (dry gas 6% O2). S02 has a strong odour. We smell it in Te Awamutu when there is a southeasterly from Kinleith in Tokoroa. It also has negative health implications for children and those with respiratory compromise (I myself suffer from asthma!).

Table 3-2 is only an estimate as there is no evidence to support this will actually be what the output is.

This is an unacceptable risk.

It also shows the levels of nitrous oxides emitted as 7kg/hr; 168kg/day.

Lastly there are heavy metals that will be released which when emitted into air then settle on grass, crops, water and soil are consumed by livestock and people. Livestock (all animals) concentrate heavy metals in bone, muscle and milk, most of which is eventually exported. MPI has a robust system to test animal products to ensure purity to exporters. This has the potential to damage trade in a major way. The export of meat and milk are a major source of income for New Zealand and it's "Clean Green" reputation is at stake.

To add to the uncertainty: There is no meteorological data that is readily available for Te Awamutu, and the closest NIWA or Metservice stations are those at Hamilton Airport 15 km to the north, and Waikeria, 10 km to the south which only has data from 2016. However Ag Research in Ruakura has supplied TBC with 2014

to 2016 meteorological data for Tokanui about 8 km to the south. This does not provide confidence of what weather conditions could impact the degree of particulate matter and gases exposed to people and animals.

Section 5.1 addresses particulate matter which is of greatest concern, especially given the project is requesting consent to allow this to be above environmental regulations. People most susceptible to the effects of particles include the elderly; those with existing respiratory disease such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and bronchitis; those with cardiovascular disease; those with infections such as pneumonia; and children. Again the evidence emerging is that the health effects are actually associated with PM2.5 rather than PM10. Regardless, people live in this community! Nearly 20,000 within the immediate airflow area. This cannot be allowed, there is not enough known.

In the GHG emission estimation what is NOT accounted for is the massive increase in trucks (diesel driven) with odor and emissions coming into and out of site/town. This need more work. The roading in and around Te Awamutu is marginal. More trucks will damage it further. Noise pollution (engine breaking and loud trucks) are already too much of an issue in the community. We do not need/want MORE.

On that note, the fuel consumption for this facility is an abhorrent amount of diesel at 8385kg/hr! Those emissions will be released. It is increasing consumption of fossil fuels, not reducing.

Part of the site is on a flood plane requiring significant site excavation and disruption to natural contour and flora and fauna. Should there be more of what New Zealand is experiencing in one in 500 or 1000 year floods, the submission does not address this risk. Downstream contamination is inevitable and the consequences unacceptable. This is not the place to build this facility.

Lastly, science from Europe has shown that there is a higher incidence of cancer and infertility near the waste to energy plants in the UK and Holland. The EU is no longer allowing their construction.

Many countries that use these haul all of the waste from the incinerator to toxic waste landfills as the leachate from the ash contains many toxins. We are only transferring one problem to another. I grew up near Haverhill, Massachusetts where this is a plant like this. There was a mass exodus from the town when it went in and there is a pile of ash no one knows what to do with from it. The big picture has not been well enough scoped, the risks are unknown and the company doing this has failed to convince me and others that they have the knowledge or expertise to do this with the precision required. They have failed to engage the community and have no support from us.

There is not enough waste in Waipa alone to keep it running, Waipa will be importing waste from all over the north island adding to truck traffic and overall emissions in the little town that cannot cope, sacrificing the health and well being of its people, animals and the agricultural businesses that keep the town going.



Reject the proposal. Te Awamutu is not a suitable site for such a project. This needs a lot more scoping on its public health, environmental and socio-economic impacts. The risk to too great for the location proposed.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/23

Contact person:

ay Shelgren

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

3875

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

Iname and designation, if applicant

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
l → Am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
ALL OF THE APPLICATION
My submission is:
Support parts or all of □ Oppose parts or all of □ are neutral parts or all of □ include—
the reasons for your views.
POR my community AND TOUN
ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROCESS OF BURNING AU THE WASTE
FOR my community AND TOUN
I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
DISTRICT COUNCIL TO DECLINE THIS APPLICATION
DISTRICT COUNCIL TO JOCUMB THIS APPLICATION
I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person: JANNEN Sovensol (name and designation, if applicant)

BOX 15 TOIWAMURA

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited N: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am r	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) ac	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— diversely affects the environment; and pes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The speci	fic parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
My subm	ission is:
Support p	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
•	the reasons for your views.
see	attached
I seek the	following decision from the consent authority:
	details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
we w	ent the Waipa District Canal to oppose this.
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
D	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
	vill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
10	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

We do not want an incinerator like this in our community for the following reasons:

The location of a heavy industrial operation immediately next to existing and planned residential housing, schools, childcare and food businesses, and operating 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, is totally inappropriate and conflicts with the intentions of the Waipā District Plan and Growth Strategy for the community. Despite supposed landscaping mitigation measures, the very large size of the building and stacks does not fit in with the area. It will dominate, have a significant impact on the landscape and turn the entire area into the feel of an industrial zone.

There will be significant additional traffic and heavy vehicles in this residential area, adding to air pollution and severely impacting the rights of nearby residents to quiet enjoyment of their properties. It will change the nature of the community from a quiet residential street to an unsafe and busy thoroughfare of trucks. We don't believe that this noise has been satisfactorily considered in the applicant's noise assessment. The high traffic volume will also impact the whole community as these heavy vehicles will enter the area from various directions. Our road surfaces are not adequately maintained now so adding this volume of heavy vehicle traffic will have costly consequences.

We are very concerned that Global Contracting Solutions does not appear to have any experience of waste incineration operations. It is a scrap metal business. The company does, however, have a track record of violating resource consent conditions in their Hamilton operations. This incinerator is said to be new technology in New Zealand and it is surprising and doesn't inspire confidence that what appears to be a relatively small company with two directors is undertaking such a multimillion dollar development.

The site is totally unsuitable for a large-scale waste incinerator. The current "Specialised Dairy Industrial Area" designation means that the land use is intended to ensure that any activity there was aligned with Fonterra's activities. It is not appropriate to have an incinerator burning millions of tyres next to a milk production facility.

This area is not identified as an area for industrial development in the District Plan. Two areas are identified for industrial growth: at Bond Road and Paterangi Road.

The site is on a floodplain. Most of the site is designated a High Risk Flood Zone. The river has been straightened and narrowed over time to enable development and this is now considered one of the major causes of flooding. Allowing rivers the ability to spread to accommodate severe rainfall events in future protects infrastructure, business and housing from inundation. In light of recent severe weather events and, we are told, the likelihood of more in the future, it is reckless to allow a project of this scale to proceed on this site.

The odour and dust have not been adequately assessed. There is no indication of how often the start-up/maintenance will be done and levels exceeded.

There has been no human health assessment of this proposal. The incineration plant is a hazardous facility with serious risks of harm to human health. The plant will emit cancer-causing dioxins, and furans, sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxide, mercury and particulate matter will be released into the air.

There is no risk assessment of the possibility of fire or explosion, despite the storage of hazardous materials and highly flammable feedstock. The community only has a volunteer fire brigade.

Almost all the material for this facility will need to be imported from outside of the district and it will be impossible to know what ongoing hazards, risks and emissions exist. This is not a proposal for the benefit of

the community. It also means that the company is more likely to burn recyclable materials and other things because it must always continue to operate. That will directly work against efforts to minimise waste.

The incinerator will produce dioxins that are cancer-causing even in extremely low levels. These will be emitted into the air and will settle on the land and in the water. There is no safe level of dioxins, and these bio-accumulate, meaning that over time they build up in human fat tissue and in animals.

There is no assessment of land contamination included in the application. International research shows that the land surrounding incinerators can be extensively contaminated with heavy metals, microplastics and other toxic emissions including dioxin.

Stormwater from the site will be discharged into the Mangapiko Stream. This water is likely to be contaminated with heavy metals and dioxin. Filtration systems and settlement ponds do not eliminate all of the toxic products meaning these will make their way into the waterways.

One of the emissions from burning tyres/tyre-derived fuel is zinc oxide, which has not been modelled and which is highly toxic to aquatic life.

The huge earthworks over several years will impact the health and wellbeing of the Mangapiko River.

The incinerator will be a massive contributor to climate change. It will directly add about 150 kilo tons per year of CO2. The incinerator would produce 23 tonnes/day of toxic ash, which has to be landfilled. Incinerator ash contains heavy metals, microplastics and dioxins. The storage of highly contaminated wastewater and other hazardous substances on site risks spills and wider contamination.

Incineration does not replace the need for landfills; instead it takes ordinary materials and concentrates them into more toxic ash.

The Waipā District Council has a great waste minimisation plan and opportunities for more comprehensive zero waste strategies that would fit with the goals of minimise wastes, while meeting community aspirations for a healthy environment, job creation and mitigation of climate emissions.

We see no benefits at all of this proposal for Te Awamutu. The town will be severely disadvantaged and adversely affected by it.

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/23

Contact person: _

The Moris - Not

- None Susan Snonden

Postal address:

354 Greenhill

TeAwamiks

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

The information you have provided on this form is required so that your submission can be processed under the RMA. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Council, and may also be made available to the public on the Council's website. In addition, any on-going communications between you and Council will be held at Council's offices and may also be accessed upon request by a third party. Access to this information is administered in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. If you have any concerns about this, please discuss with a Council Planner prior to lodging your submission.

Document Set 10 1 10684 Version: 1, Version Date: 10/10/2023

63





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	NT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited NT: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
_	not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
Jain/aiii	not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 3088 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) a	pot directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—dversely affects the environment; and oes not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
	ific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
The spec	
	All al the application
My subm	nission is:
Support p	parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of
•	the reasons for your views.
***************************************	Family health Air Polletion in residential area.
give precise	e following decision from the consent authority: e details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions OPROCE TIME Application To be declined.
I wish (or	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
O	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
/	
Ą	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13-10-22 Contact person:

(name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address:

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
am/am no	t* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
(a) adv	ntheter directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— ersely affects the environment; and s not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific	c parts of the application that my submission relates to are: SUBMISSION RELATES TO THE ENTIRE APPLICATION
My submis	
include-	rts or all of D Oppose parts or all of D are neutral parts or all of D
•	the reasons for your views.
The	creation of a commercial Memerator in the
Mide	the of a residential town is quite forcical.
Burni	creation of a commercial. Nemerator in the the of a residential town is quite forcical. ne toxic waste is a danger in itself in any location.
	following decision from the consent authority:
	details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
sought T	the Warns prefered consent to dean all aspende
ef #	want The Warpa District council to deny all aspeads
I wish (or o	do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
	I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
	If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
	tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard ill <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
	I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 10/10/2023

Contact person: Bruce Stott.

(name and designation, if applicant)

submitter.

Postal address: 2 Totara St. Te F

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- · it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

ADDITIONAL CLASS COLUMN
APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited OCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
and all the district for the purposes of section 3000 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
am/am=not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
 a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission relates to the whole application.
Trig 200 To the whole approach of the
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of Oppose parts or all oppose p
• the reasons for your views.
Waste incinerators produce toxic dioxins that will pollute the environment. The electricity produced is too high a price to pay in a country that is
rich in green electricity production.
11
seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions cought
I want the waipa District Council to decline this application
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
wish (of do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission
(this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission
(this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will <u>not</u> advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	alf of submitter) (A signature is not required	d if you make your subm	ission by electronic means.)
Date: 10 /10/23	Contact person:	Tracay	Stott
Postal address:	der section 352 of the Act):		

ONTA

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

	'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited		
LOCATION	401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu		
l am no	* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.		
I am/am no	at directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—		
	ersely affects the environment; and		
(b) doe	s not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.		
Λ -	The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: All It the application		
My submiss	sion is:		
Support par include—	ts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of		
•	the reasons for your views.		
Dido	one can be will It the long taken effect on peoples health		
2) 15	prime land for homes to be built and		
5) IF	will rective property Values in TIA		
	ollowing decision from the consent authority: etails, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions		
	re-tre-sophication		
	a met usich) to be board in summent of much mission		
i wish (or ac	o not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.		
16 ₁	do wish to be heard in support of my submission		
	this means that you will speak at the hearing)		
	do not wish to be heard in support of my submission his means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)		
180 I	f others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.		
You must tie	ck one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard		
	not advise you of the date of the hearing.		
(
	have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. his is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)		

Signature of submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

if of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Date: 13/10/22

Contact person: Kabert

name and designation, if applicant)

Postal address: 55 5 Wary Rd Te HWAMME

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on: **APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited** LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission is: Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing. I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Form 13

Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
I am/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/a m not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
all of the application
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—
the reasons for your views.
I do not apport such a climate unfriendly was
mins system that will uthwatery poor this of Carbo
MOXICUS, POISONOUS EMISSIONS HEAT WIll SETTE +
I seek the following decision from the consent authority:
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought
sought -
Papase This application. I request the
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard
and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.
(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:		e is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
for person authorised to sign on be	naij oj submitter) (A signatur	ESTE STEEL
Date: 13/19/23		learne Seel dangute
	(name and designation,	f applicant)
Postal address		

Notes to submitter

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act):

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information







Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

This is a submission on: **APPLICANT'S NAME:** Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu tam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. $\Delta m/am$ not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b) The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: My submission is: Oppose parts or all of Support parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. The cultival impact, air nater and land pollutio. I am a direct resident of race course is our daughter school which there is such a horrible plant. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions Submiccion I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard

76

and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	
(or person authorised to sign on	rehalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your subn

nission by electronic means.)

Contact person: Tracy Stevenson

(name and designation, if applicant)

Race course Road Te Awamutu

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- · it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information



Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

Form 13

This is a submission on: APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu I am/am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that adversely affects the environment; and does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. (b) The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: All of the application My submission is: **Support** parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of includethe reasons for your views. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions sought I wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission. I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing) I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing) If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. You must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard and we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.

I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant.

(this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature	of	submitter:	4
-----------	----	------------	---

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)

Contact person:

Postal address:

800 Pakura St. Te Anamafa

(or alternative method of service under section 352 of the

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information





Sections 41D, 95A, 95B, 95C, 96, 127(3) and 234(4), Resource Management Act 1991

APPLICANT'S NAME: Global Contracting Solutions Limited
LOCATION: 401 Racecourse Road, Te Awamutu
Lam/am not* a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I am/amate directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:
All parts of the opplication
My submission is:
Support parts or all of Oppose parts or all of are neutral parts or all of include—
the reasons for your views.
Health risks from this facility are not acceptable Diaxins
are known to cause concer and danmage the immune system
There is an increased miscarriage risk and perferm delivery risk
we also do not need to increase respiratory problems in our seek the following decision from the consent authority: residents
give precise details, including the parts of the application you wish to have amended and the general nature of any conditions
ought
I want the waipa district council to dedine the entire application. I appose this facility in its entirity.
wish (or do not wish) to be heard in support of my submission.
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will speak at the hearing)
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission (this means that you will not be advised of the date of the hearing and will not speak at the hearing)
If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
ou must tick one of the boxes above, otherwise it will be deemed that you do not wish to be heard nd we will not advise you of the date of the hearing.
I have served a copy of my submission on the applicant. (this is required by section 96(6) (b) of the Resource Management Act 1991)

Signature of submitter:	ever alle
(or person authorised to sign on behalf	of submitter) (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.)
Date: 13/10/2023	Contact person: Lawa Neglson Smith (name and designation, if applicant)
Postal address: 2/887	POKUTU road R.D.3 Te Awanutu

Notes to submitter

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16B.

The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public or limited notification is given. If the application is subject to limited notification, the consent authority may adopt an earlier closing date for submissions once the consent authority receives responses from all affected persons.

If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.

If you make your submission in hard copy please deliver to Waipa District Council, 101 Bank Street, Te Awamutu or 23 Wilson Street, Cambridge or post to Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840

If you make your submission by electronic means, a signature is not required. Electronic submissions on resource consent applications must be directed to submissions@waipadc.govt.nz.

If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- · it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Privacy information

