BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT

ENV-2021-AKL-000096

AUCKLAND

<u>I MUA I TE KOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA</u>

TAMAKI MAKAURAU ROHE

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under s120 of the Act

BETWEEN 3MS OF CAMBRIDGE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Applicant/Appellant

A N D WAIPA DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

A N D Frank Ritchie and Colleen Ritchie

s274 party

NOTICE UNDER \$274 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

Instructing Solicitors

Evans Bailey – Andrew Fletcher

Po Box 19-149 Hamilton 3244

Phone: 07 838 2459

Email:

andrew:Fletcher@evansbailey.co.nz

Counsel Acting

Phil Lang - Barrister Riverbank Chambers

5th Floor, 286 Victoria Street,

PO Box 19 539, HAMILTON

Phone: 027 870 660

Email: p.lang@xtra.co.nz

1

To: The Registrar

Environment Court

Auckland

We, Frank Ritchie and Colleen Ritchie, wish to be a party to the following proceedings:

ENV-2021-AKL-000096 - Appeal against refusal of subdivision consent

BETWEEN 3MS OF CAMBRIDGE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

Appellant

AND WAIPA DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

We made a submission on this application.

We are not trade competitors for the purposes of s308C or 308A of the Resource Management Act 1991 and we are directly affected by effects of the subject of the appeal that adversely affects the environment. We are immediate neighbours to the western boundary of the Appellant's subdivision site.

We are interested in the whole of the appeal proceedings.

We are most interested in the following particular issues:

- 1. The way in which central infrastructure for subdivision and development of the Cambridge C2 Growth Cell is to be developed.
- We oppose any consent that enables the Appellant's subdivision and development to proceed without ensuring the efficient and timely provision of central infrastructure to serve the Cambridge C2 Growth Cell including our property.

2

3. Whether the alternative proposal for a staged approach to subdivision

and development is within the scope of the Appellants' application.

4. We oppose the relief identified in paragraph 10 of the Notice of

Appeal. We believe that relief will not ensure that integrated

development of other parts of the Cambridge C2 Growth Cell including

our property can occur in a timely and efficient manner.

5. We may be open to granting of a resource consent for subdivision if a

solution can be secured that ensures the provision of central

infrastructure for the Cambridge C2 Growth Cell, in a manner that

enables timely and efficient development of land in that cell beyond

the Appellant's proposed development site.

We agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of

the proceedings.

Dated 13 August 2021

Counsel authorised to sign on behalf of Mr and Mrs Ritchie

Addresses for service of person wishing to be a party:

P Lang, counsel

By Email to: p.lang@xtra.co.nz;and

By post to: PO Box 19539, Hamilton 3244