

Waipā District Plan Plan Change Submission Form

05

Form 5

Clause 6 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

Send to: Waipā District Council, Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840 Phone: 0800 924 723 | Online: www.waipadc.govt.nz/planchanges | Email: <u>districtplan@waipadc.govt.nz</u>

submissions. If you do not wish to u	DOT 2022
1. Submitter details	By AKID
Full name of submitter:	MIDDLE/NARROWS FOCUS GROUP
Contact name if different from above:	ELAINE M PENN
Contact phone number(s)	07 - 8434860
Email address:	elaine penn @ hot mail, com
Address for service: (required if no email address is provided)	90 MIDDLE ROAD RDZ HAMILTON 3282

We will serve all formal documents electronically via the email address provided above. Where there is no email address provided the documents will be posted to the above address.

2. This is a submission on the following proposed plan change to the Waipā District Plan

Plan Change 20 – Airport Northern Precinct Extension

3. Trad	e comp	petition	
Select	0	I could	- is a short to the decomposition through this submission
one	Ø	I could not	gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
	0	l am	directly affected by an effect of the subject matter that -
Select one	Ø	l am not	(a) adversely affects the environment; and(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

Select	Q	l do	wish to be heard (attend and speak at the Council hearing) in support of	f my cubmission
one	0	I do not	wish to be neard (attend and speak at the Council hearing) in support of	i iny submissior



Submissions must be received by Waipā District Council by 5pm on Friday, 28 October 2022

5. The	specific	provisions of the plan	
	0	I SUPPORT	 Amendments to Appendix S10 Airport Business Zone Structure Plan, clause S10. subclause.2.1 and clause 10.4.2.10
elect	0	I SUPPORT IN PART	2. Pedestrian and vehicle access to Middle and Narrows Roads
ne	Ø	I OPPOSE	 Lack of detail re stormwater management as it relates to adjacent properties Lack of planning consequences if Southern links project has a long postponement
		1	and of particular consequences in southern mixs project has a long postponemen
. My s	submiss	sion is: (please include t	the reasons for your view)
1.	The N	orthern Precinct devel	lopment does not need access/egress to Middle Road to
			oposed amendment is ambiguous. The residential neighbours
			It lifestyle without extra traffic on a rural road
		rn Precinct business	s and Middle Roads is not necessary for the functioning of the
			a high-water table and is serviced by a variety of ditches, some
	flowing	to the river via adjace	ent properties. There needs to be a plan for retention of water
			reased surface water anticipated
4.	The Sou	uthern Links project ha	
			as been postponed indefinitely and planning north of the
. I see	Northe ek the f	rn Precinct should be a	a Waipa District Plan consideration
. I see rovisio That: 1. 2.	Northe ek the f on (or n claus defin That	rn Precinct should be a ollowing decision/s fro hap) to be changed to) ses S10.2, subclause 2 hed and no amendmen no pedestrian or vehic	a Waipa District Plan consideration om Council: (give precise details – e.g. what you would like the wording of a spec 1 and clause 10.4.2.10 of the Waipa District Plan remain as It made cle access be allowed to Narrows or Middle Road
. I see rovisio That: 1. 2. 3.	Northe on (or n claus defin That That	rn Precinct should be a ollowing decision/s fro hap) to be changed to) ses S10.2, subclause 2 hed and no amendmen no pedestrian or vehic Developers co-ordinat	a Waipa District Plan consideration om Council: (give precise details – e.g. what you would like the wording of a spec 1 and clause 10.4.2.10 of the Waipa District Plan remain as at made cle access be allowed to Narrows or Middle Road te with neighbours regarding stormwater flows
. I see rovisio That: 1. 2.	Northe ek the f on (or n claus defin That That That	rn Precinct should be a ollowing decision/s fro hap) to be changed to) ees S10.2, subclause 2. hed and no amendmen no pedestrian or vehic Developers co-ordinat no decisions be made	a Waipa District Plan consideration om Council: (give precise details – e.g. what you would like the wording of a spec 1 and clause 10.4.2.10 of the Waipa District Plan remain as it made cle access be allowed to Narrows or Middle Road te with neighbours regarding stormwater flows about areas outside of the Northern Precinct's northern
. I see rovisio That: 1. 2. 3.	Northe ek the f on (or n claus defin That That That boun	rn Precinct should be a ollowing decision/s fro hap) to be changed to) ees S10.2, subclause 2 hed and no amendmen no pedestrian or vehic Developers co-ordinat no decisions be made idaries until the new W	a Waipa District Plan consideration om Council: (give precise details – e.g. what you would like the wording of a spec 1 and clause 10.4.2.10 of the Waipa District Plan remain as at made cle access be allowed to Narrows or Middle Road te with neighbours regarding stormwater flows
. I see rovisio That: 1. 2. 3.	Northe ek the f on (or n claus defin That That That boun	rn Precinct should be a ollowing decision/s fro hap) to be changed to) ees S10.2, subclause 2 hed and no amendmen no pedestrian or vehic Developers co-ordinat no decisions be made idaries until the new W	a Waipa District Plan consideration om Council: (give precise details – e.g. what you would like the wording of a spec 1 and clause 10.4.2.10 of the Waipa District Plan remain as at made cle access be allowed to Narrows or Middle Road te with neighbours regarding stormwater flows about areas outside of the Northern Precinct's northern Vaipa District Plan is discussed and there is more information re
. I see rovisio That: 1. 2. 3.	Northe ek the f on (or n claus defin That That That boun	rn Precinct should be a ollowing decision/s fro hap) to be changed to) ees S10.2, subclause 2 hed and no amendmen no pedestrian or vehic Developers co-ordinat no decisions be made idaries until the new W	a Waipa District Plan consideration om Council: (give precise details – e.g. what you would like the wording of a spec 1 and clause 10.4.2.10 of the Waipa District Plan remain as at made cle access be allowed to Narrows or Middle Road te with neighbours regarding stormwater flows about areas outside of the Northern Precinct's northern Vaipa District Plan is discussed and there is more information re
7. I see provisio That: 1. 2. 3. 4. 3. Signa	Northe ek the f on (or n claus defin That That That That South	rn Precinct should be a ollowing decision/s fro hap) to be changed to) ees S10.2, subclause 2.1 hed and no amendmen no pedestrian or vehic Developers co-ordinat no decisions be made hdaries until the new W hern Links (particularly	a Waipa District Plan consideration om Council: (give precise details – e.g. what you would like the wording of a spec 1 and clause 10.4.2.10 of the Waipa District Plan remain as at made cle access be allowed to Narrows or Middle Road te with neighbours regarding stormwater flows about areas outside of the Northern Precinct's northern Vaipa District Plan is discussed and there is more information re

Our submission endeavours to enable a balance between the Northern Precinct commercial development and the preservation of a rural residential lifestyle. The proposal by Rukuhia Properties has not been discussed previously and threatens to change the interface of our community. We request that our land and therefore, community, is kept as a separate entity from the commercial development. We suggest that this can be achieved by ensuring that the development is bound by the Northern Precinct's land boundaries with no access or egress of any type to Middle or Narrows Road. We suggest that Middle Road should continue to be defined as it is at the present time. The roads are much used for recreational pursuits by the extended communities including Lowe and Raynes Roads



Submissions must be received by Waipā District Council by 5pm on Friday, 28 October 2022

> Page 2 of 3 10878170

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by <u>clause 6(4)</u> of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the consent authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious;
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- It contains offensive language;
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Personal Information

The information requested on this form, including your contact details, is required by the Resource Management Act 1991. The information will be held by the Council, and you may ask to check and correct any personal information that we hold about you.

Your submission, including your name and contact details, will be made available for inspection at all Council service centres and libraries in accordance with the requirements of the Act. It may also be made available on the Council's website. A document summarising all submissions, including names and contact details of submitters will be posted on the Council's website

If you believe there are compelling reasons why your contact details should be kept confidential please contact the processing planner for this application.



Document Set ID: 10878170