



12th December 2022

Waipa District Council

Private Bag 2402

Te Awamutu 3840

Email: districtplan@waipadc.govt.nz

Dear Sir/Madam

Waka Kotahi (Submitter 63) Further Submissions to Waipa District Council's Plan Change 26- Residential Zone Intensification

Please find attached the further submissions on Waipa District Council's Plan Change 26 on behalf of Waka Kotahi (submitter 63).

Waka Kotahi wishes to be heard in support of this further submission.

If others make a similar submission, Waka Kotahi may consider submitting a joint case.

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency is a Crown Entity with statutory obligations of ensuring an integrated, safe and sustainable transport system and therefore has an interest in the plan change greater than the general public has.

Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Sam Le Heron'.

Sam Le Heron

Team Lead- Waikato/ Bay of Plenty

Poutiaki Taiao / Environmental Planning

Pursuant to an authority delegated by Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency

Address for service:

Attn: Claudia.jones@nzta.govt.nz

Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency

PO Box 973

Waikato Mail Centre

Hamilton 3240

New Zealand

Submitter Name/Contact	Submission Number	Chapter/Provision	Support or Oppose	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	The reasons for my support or opposition are:	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:
Waikato Regional Council Contact: Hannah Craven	30.8	General	Support in part.	Add new or amend objectives, policies, rules and standards around climate change and carbon emission reduction goals in the context of housing intensification.	Waka Kotahi supports the intent of the submission point. However, Waka Kotahi consider that insufficient detail is available to understand the implications of what is proposed, and how it will be given effect to.	Waka Kotahi considers more information is required and seeks to be involved with the development of any objectives, policies and rules.
Waikato Regional Council Contact: Hannah Craven	30.11	Section 15.3- Objectives and Policies	Support in part.	Add an objective and policy to ensure that infrastructure is to be designed and constructed to be resilient to the likely current and future impacts of climate change.	Waka Kotahi supports the intent of the submission point. However, Waka Kotahi consider that insufficient detail is available to understand the implications of what is proposed, and how it will be given effect to.	Waka Kotahi considers more information is required and seeks to be involved with the development of the objective and policy.
Waikato Regional Council Contact: Hannah Craven	30.23	Section 16- Transportation	Support in part.	Add new or amend objectives, policies, rules and standards in Section 16 Transportation to address climate change and carbon emission reduction goals in the context of housing intensification.	Waka Kotahi supports the intent of the submission point. However, Waka Kotahi consider that insufficient detail is available to understand the implications of what is proposed, and how it will be given effect to.	Waka Kotahi considers more information is required and seeks to be involved with the development of any objectives and policies.
Waikato Regional Council Contact: Hannah Craven	30.26	18.5.2.18, 18.5.2.19, 18.5.2.20	Support.	Provision should be made for financial contributions for transport infrastructure other than for vehicles and pedestrians. This will result in an uptake of cycling and other modes of active transport.	Waka Kotahi supports the inclusion of cycling within the relevant provisions as it is agreed that financial contributions for transport infrastructure other than vehicles and pedestrians should be provided for.	Waka Kotahi seek the submission point be allowed.
Kāinga Ora Contact: Brendon Liggett	79.140	2A.2.7	Oppose.	The provision does not align with the NPS-UD, wherein high-density development is encouraged around rapid transport routes. Kāinga Ora is opposed to provisions concerning reverse sensitivity, whether for infrastructure or otherwise. Effects should be managed 'at source' as far as practicable.	Waka Kotahi opposes the deletion of this policy on the basis that effects should be managed 'at source' as far as practicable. This suggests that the responsibility of managing noise is the sole responsibility of the transport network operator. There should be a shared responsibility for managing road traffic noise effects from the state highway because it is not practical nor reasonable for any one party to assume sole responsibility. Waka Kotahi, councils and landowners/developers all must assume responsibilities. The submission point also notes that this policy “ <i>does not align with the NPS-UD, wherein high-density development is encouraged around rapid transit routes</i> ”. There are no existing or planned rapid transit stops within the Waipa District, therefore, Waka Kotahi does not understand how this part of the submission point is relevant to the policy.	Waka Kotahi seek the submission point be disallowed.

<p>Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand</p> <p>Contact: Luke Hinchey (Chapman Tripp)</p>	73.36	2.4.1.1	Oppose.	<p>Opposes the restricted discretionary activity status of retirement villages in the Residential Zone. The submitter seeks that retirement villages are provided for as a permitted activity, with the construction of the retirement village being a restricted discretionary activity under a separate rule, recognising that retirement villages are residential activities that are appropriate in residential zones and provide substantial benefit in residential zones including enabling older people to remain in familiar community environments for longer (close to family and support networks), while also freeing up a number of dwellings for families to move into.</p>	<p>Waka Kotahi opposes retirement villages as a Permitted activity as they can have significant effect on the transport network, and therefore a full consideration of how such effects can be avoided, remedied, or mitigated is required. Waka Kotahi seeks that the activity status for a retirement village remains at minimum a Restricted Discretionary activity as per Rule 2.4.1.3.</p>	<p>Waka Kotahi seeks that this submission point be disallowed.</p>
<p>Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand</p> <p>Contact: Luke Hinchey (Chapman Tripp)</p>	73.88	2A.4.1.1	Oppose	<p>Opposes the restricted discretionary activity status of retirement villages in the Medium Density Residential Zone. Seeks that retirement villages are provided for as a permitted activity, with the construction of the retirement village being a restricted discretionary activity under a separate rule.</p>	<p>Waka Kotahi opposes retirement villages as a Permitted activity as they can have significant effect on the transport network, and therefore a full consideration of how such effects can be avoided, remedied, or mitigated is required.</p> <p>Waka Kotahi seeks that the activity status for a retirement village remains at a Restricted Discretionary activity as per Rule 2A.4.1.3.</p>	<p>Waka Kotahi seeks that this submission point be disallowed.</p>